arXiv:1704.03916v1 [math.DS] 12 Apr 2017

THE MAPPING CLASS GROUP OF A SHIFT OF FINITE TYPE

MIKE BOYLE AND SOMPONG CHUYSURICHAY

Abstract. Let (X_A, σ_A) be a nontrivial irreducible shift of finite type (SFT), with $\mathcal{M}_A$ denoting its mapping class group: the group of flow equivalences of its mapping torus $\mathsf{S}X_A$, (i.e., self homeomorphisms of $\mathsf{S}X_A$ which respect the direction of the suspension flow) modulo the subgroup of flow equivalences of $\mathsf{S}X_A$ isotopic to the identity. We develop machinery and context for the study of $\mathcal{M}_A$, and prove results including the following. $\mathcal{M}_A$ acts faithfully and n-transitively (for every n in $\mathbb{N}$) by permutations on the set of circles of $\mathsf{S}X_A$. The center of $\mathcal{M}_A$ is trivial. The outer automorphism group of $\mathcal{M}_A$ is nontrivial. In many cases, $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_A)$ admits a nonspatial automorphism. For every SFT (X_B, σ_B) flow equivalent to (X_A, σ_A), $\mathcal{M}_A$ contains embedded copies of $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_B)/\langle\sigma_B\rangle$, induced by return maps to invariant cross sections; but, elements of $\mathcal{M}_A$ not arising from flow equivalences with invariant cross sections are abundant. $\mathcal{M}_A$ is countable and has solvable word problem. $\mathcal{M}_A$ is not residually finite. The mapping class group of every full shift embeds into $\mathcal{M}_A$. Conjugacy classes of many (possibly all) involutions in $\mathcal{M}_A$ can be classified by the G-flow equivalence classes of associated G-SFTs, for $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. There are many open questions.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Definitions and background 3
3. Actions, representations and group isomorphisms 6
4. Outer and nonspatial automorphisms 9
5. Invariant cross sections and automorphisms 12
6. Residual finiteness 15
7. Solvable word problem 16
8. Conjugacy classes of involutions 19
Appendix A. Flow codes 23
References 26

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, $T : X \to X$ denotes a homeomorphism of a compact zero dimensional metric space X, and $\mathsf{S}(X, T)$ is the mapping torus of T, which carries a natural suspension flow (detailed definitions are in Sec. 2). We usually write $\mathsf{S}X$ for $\mathsf{S}(X, T)$. Let $\mathcal{F}(T)$ denote the group of self equivalences of the suspension flow on $\mathsf{S}X$, i.e., the homeomorphisms $\mathsf{S}X \to \mathsf{S}X$ which map orbits onto orbits, respecting

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37B10; Secondary 20F10, 20F38.

Key words and phrases. shift of finite type, flow equivalence, mapping class group, automorphism group.

the direction of the flow. Define the mapping class group of T, $\mathcal{M}(T)$, to be the group of isotopy classes of elements of $\mathcal{F}(T)$. By definition, for h in $\mathcal{F}(T)$, the class $[h]$ is trivial in $\mathcal{M}(T)$ if there is a continuous map $\mathsf{S}X \times [0,1] \to \mathsf{S}X$, $(y,t) \mapsto h_t(y)$, with h_0 the identity, $h_1 = h$ and each h_t in $\mathcal{F}(T)$. Because X is zero dimensional, this condition forces each h_t to map each flow orbit to itself. The automorphism group of T, $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$, is the group of homeomorphisms $X \to X$ which commute with T.

For an irreducible matrix A over $\mathbb{Z}_+$, let $\sigma_A : X_A \to X_A$ be the associated shift of finite type (SFT). We say an SFT is trivial if X_A is a single finite orbit. Let $\mathcal{M}_A = \mathcal{M}(\sigma_A)$. In this paper we study $\mathcal{M}_A$, the mapping class group of an irreducible shift of finite type, introduced in [3]. (Several of the results, along with ingredients of some others, appeared in the Ph.D. thesis of S. Chuysurichay [18].)

Homeomorphisms T, T' are *flow equivalent* if the suspension flows on their mapping tori are equivalent, i.e. there is a homeomorphism $h : \mathsf{S}X \to \mathsf{S}X'$ mapping orbits onto orbits, respecting the orientation of the flow. Here, h induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{M}(T) \to \mathcal{M}(T')$. $\mathcal{M}(T)$ plays for flow equivalence the role that $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$ plays for topological conjugacy. Flow equivalence is very naturally a part of unified algebraic framework for classifying SFTs (see e.g. [4]). A classification of SFTs up to flow equivalence is known; the classification, and some of the ideas involved, have been quite useful for the stable and unital classification of Cuntz-Krieger algebras (e.g. [46, 47]) and more generally, graph C^*-algebras (e.g. [23]). The track record of utility for flow equivalence is another motivation for looking at $\mathcal{M}_A$.

We will see that for a nontrivial irreducible SFT σ_A, $\mathcal{M}_A$ contains naturally embedded copies of $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_B)/\langle\sigma_B\rangle$, for every σ_B flow equivalent to σ_A, where $\langle\sigma_B\rangle$ is the subgroup consisting of the powers of σ_B. Automorphism groups of SFTs are still poorly understood, despite longstanding interest (e.g. [30, 12, 35]); this relation to automorphism groups is another reason for our interest in $\mathcal{M}_A$, particularly given a resurgence of interest in automorphism groups of various symbolic systems (e.g. [19, 20, 21, 22, 31, 51, 50].)

We are also interested in $\mathcal{M}_A$ as a large (though countable) dynamically defined group. Some such groups arising from zero dimensional dynamics have turned out to be quite interesting as countable groups (e.g. [29, 32, 42].) And although the groups $\mathcal{M}_A$ are quite different from the mapping class groups of surfaces, it is not impossible that from the vast wealth of ideas and tools in the surface case (see [24]) some useful approach to $\mathcal{M}_A$ may be suggested.

We turn now to the organization of the paper. In Section 2, we give background. For a nontrivial irreducible SFT σ_A, the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_A)$ on finite invariant sets of periodic points has been a key tool for progress (e.g. in [35]). In Section 3, we show nothing like this is available to study $\mathcal{M}_A$: for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{M}_A$ acts n-transitively and faithfully on the set of circles in $\mathsf{S}X_A$. The other general tool which has proved useful for studying $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_A)$ (especially with respect to its action on periodic points [35], via Wagoner's Strong Shift Equivalence spaces [53]) is the dimension representation, ρ_A. The analogue of ρ_A for $\mathcal{M}_A$ is the Bowen-Franks representation, β_A, which for a nontrivial irreducible SFT σ_A maps $\mathcal{M}_A$ onto the group of group automorphisms of the Bowen-Franks group $\mathrm{coker}(I-A)$ [3]. Among our questions: is the kernel of β_A simple? finitely generated? sofic?

In Section 3, we also show the actions of $\mathcal{M}_A$ on circles of $\mathsf{S}X$ (by permutations) and on $\check{H}^1(\mathsf{S}X)$ are faithful, and prove analogues of two existing results for $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_A)$:

the center of $\mathcal{M}_A$ is trivial, and the mapping class group of a full shift embeds into the mapping class group of any nontrivial irreducible SFT.

In Section 4, we show $\mathcal{M}_A$ has a nontrivial outer automorphism group, and (extending work of [10]) for many mixing SFTs σ_A construct a group isomorphism $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_A) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_A)$ which is not spatial: i.e., is not induced by a homeomorphism. We also show that spatial isomorphism of sufficiently rich subgroups is enough to imply flip conjugacy.

In Section 5, we describe how flow equivalences $\mathsf{S}X \to \mathsf{S}X$ with invariant cross sections are the flow equivalences induced by automorphisms of maps S flow equivalent to T, and show that by this correspondence $\mathcal{M}_A$ contains embedded copies of $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_B)/\langle\sigma_B\rangle$ for any SFT (X_B, σ_B) flow equivalent to (X_A, σ_A). Appealing to a general extension result from [7], we also show that for any nontrivial irreducible SFT (X_A, σ_A), there is an abundant supply of elements in $\mathcal{M}_A$ containing no flow equivalence with an invariant cross section. We also give a concrete example of such an element, not appealing to an extension theorem,

In Section 6, we show that $\mathcal{M}_A$ is not residually finite. In Section 7, we show that $\mathcal{M}_A$ has solvable word problem. In Section 8, we give results on conjugacy classes of involutions in $\mathcal{M}_A$ by establishing a connection to the theory of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-SFTs. For example, if $\det(I - A)$ is odd, then only finitely many conjugacy classes in $\mathcal{M}_A$ can contain fixed point free involutions.

At points in the paper we make use of flow codes, a flow analogue of block codes, introduced in [5]. For Section 7, we also need to address composition of flow codes up to isotopy. The background and new work on flow codes is given in Appendix A.

In the course of the paper we make explicit several of the many open questions about $\mathcal{M}_A$.

2. Definitions and background

There is more detailed background on the material below in [39] (for Sec. 2.1), [5] (for Secs. 2.2 and 2.3) and [3] (for Secs. 2.4 and 2.5).

2.1. **Shifts of Finite Type.** Let A be an $n \times n$ nonnegative integral matrix. A can be viewed as an adjacency matrix of a finite directed graph G with n ordered vertices and a finite edge set E and A_{ij} is the number of edges from vertex i to vertex j. Let X_A be the subspace of $E^{\mathbb{Z}}$ consisting of bi-infinite sequences (x_i) such that for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the terminal vertex of x_i is the initial vertex of x_{i+1}. Then with the subspace topology from the product topology of $E^{\mathbb{Z}}$, X_A is a compact metrizable space and the *shift map* σ_A defined by the rule $(\sigma_A(x))_i = x_{i+1}$ is a homeomorphism from X_A to X_A. (X_A, σ_A) is a *shift of finite type (SFT) defined by* A. In general an SFT is any dynamical system topologically conjugate to some (X_A, σ_A); in addition, A can be chosen nondegenerate (no zero row or column). An SFT (X_A, σ_A) is *irreducible* if it has a dense forward orbit; it is *trivial* if X_A is a finite set. For A nondegenerate, (X_A, σ_A) is irreducible if and only if A is an irreducible matrix. If A is irreducible, then (X_A, σ_A) is trivial if and only if A is a cyclic permutation matrix.

2.2. **Suspensions, Cross Sections, and Flow Equivalences.** For a homeomorphism $T : X \to X$, we define its *mapping torus* $\mathsf{S}(X, T) = \mathsf{S}X$ to be the quotient space $(X \times \mathbb{R})/\sim$, where $(x, t) \sim (T^n(x), t - n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We write the

image of (x,t) in $\mathsf{S}X$ as $[x,t]$. An element of $\mathsf{S}X$ may be represented as $[x,t]$ for a unique x in X and t in $[0,1)$. For any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the *suspension flow* $\alpha : \mathsf{S}X \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathsf{S}X$ is defined by $([x,t],s) \mapsto \alpha_s([x,t]) = [x,s+t]$. Two discrete dynamical systems (X,T) and (X',T') are *flow equivalent* if there is a homeomorphism $F : \mathsf{S}X \to \mathsf{S}X'$ mapping flow orbits onto flow orbits, respecting the direction of the flow. F is called a *flow equivalence*. Any conjugacy of discrete dynamical systems induces a topological conjugacy of the corresponding suspension flows (and this is a flow equivalence), but in general flow equivalence is a much weaker equivalence relation.

A *cross section* C of the suspension flow α on $\mathsf{S}X$ is a closed set of $\mathsf{S}X$ such that $\alpha : C \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathsf{S}X$ is a local homeomorphism onto $\mathsf{S}X$ [52]. It follows that every orbit hits C in forward time and in backward time, *the first return time* defined by $f_c(x) = \inf\{s > 0 : \alpha_s(x) \in C\}$ is continuous and strictly positive on C, and *the first return map* $\rho_c : C \to C$ defined by $\rho_c(x) = \alpha_{f_c(x)}(x)$ is a homeomorphism. Discrete systems (X,T) and (X',T') are flow equivalent if and only if there is a flow Y with two cross sections whose return maps are conjugate respectively to T and T'.

We define the *mapping class group* of T, denoted by $\mathcal{M}(T)$, to be the group of flow equivalences $\mathsf{S}X \to \mathsf{S}X$ modulo the subgroup of flow equivalences which are isotopic to the identity in $\mathcal{F}(T)$. Two flow equivalences $F_0, F_1 : \mathsf{S}X \to \mathsf{S}X'$ are *isotopic* if $[(F_1)^{-1}F_0]$ is trivial in $\mathcal{M}(T)$. By definition, for h in $\mathcal{F}(T)$, the class $[h]$ is trivial in $\mathcal{M}(T)$ if there is a continuous map $\mathsf{S}X \times [0,1] \to \mathsf{S}X$, $(y,t) \mapsto h_t(y)$, with h_0 the identity, $h_1 = h$ and each h_t in $\mathcal{F}(T)$. When T is a shift of finite type σ_A, we may write $\mathcal{F}_A$ for $\mathcal{F}(T)$ and $\mathcal{M}_A$ for $\mathcal{M}(T)$.

Question 2.1. Does the epimorphism $\mathcal{F}(\mathsf{S}X_A) \to \mathcal{M}_A$ given by $F \mapsto [F]$ split?

Question 2.1 asks whether $\mathcal{M}_A$ can be presented as a group of homeomorphisms.

2.3. **The Parry-Sullivan Argument.** A *discrete cross section* for a homeomorphism $T : X \to X$ is a closed subset C of X with a continuous function $r\colon C \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $r(x) = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} : T^k(x) \in C$ and $X = \{T^k(x) : x \in C, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. When X is zero dimensional, the set C must be clopen in X, by continuity of the return time function r.

The argument of Parry and Sullivan in [44] shows the following.

Theorem 2.2. *([44]; see* [5, Theorem 4.2]*) Suppose Y, Y' are one dimensional compact metric spaces with fixed point free flows γ, γ' for which C, C' are zero dimensional cross sections, with return maps $\rho_C, \rho_{C'}$. Suppose $h : Y \to Y'$ is a flow equivalence.*

Then there are discrete cross sections D, D' for $\rho_C, \rho_{C'}$, with $D \subset C$ and $D' \subset C'$ and $h^{-1}(D') = D$, such that h is isotopic to a homeomorphism $Y \to Y'$ induced by a topological conjugacy $(D, \rho_D) \to (D', \rho_{D'})$.

Theorem 2.2 is implicit in the succinct paper [44]; see [5] for full details, generalization and related examples.

As a consequence of Theorem 2.2, we have the following fact.

Corollary 2.3. *The mapping class group of a subshift (X,σ) is countable.*

Proof. Let Y be the mapping torus of X. For any discrete cross section D for (X,σ), the system (X,ρ_D) is expansive and therefore topologically conjugate to a subshift. By Theorem 2.2, up to isotopy a flow equivalence $Y \to Y$ is determined

by the choice of clopen sets D, D' and a topological conjugacy $(D, \rho_D) \to (D', \rho_{D'})$ (which can be defined by a block code). There are only countably many clopen sets in D and only countably many block codes. Therefore the mapping class group of (X, σ) is countable. □

For a simple example in contrast to Corollary 2.3, note that $\mathcal{M}(T)$ is uncountable if T is the identity map on a Cantor set.

2.4. **Positive Equivalence.** Let A and B be irreducible matrices. We embed A and B to the set of *essentially irreducible* infinite matrices over $\mathbb{Z}_+$, those which have only one irreducible component. Within the "positive K-Theory"approach to symbolic dynamics [4, 14, 54], there is the general "positive equivalence" method for constructing flow equivalences for SFTs (developed in [4], building on Franks' work [26]). (*Flow codes,* a flow equivalence analogue of block codes developed in [5], give a general presentation of flow equivalences up to isotopy for subshifts.) A *basic elementary matrix* E is a matrix in $\mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{Z})$ which has off-diagonal entry $E_{ij} = 1$ where $i \neq j$ and 1 on the main diagonal and 0 elsewhere. We define four *basic positive equivalences* as follows: suppose $A_{ij} > 0$,

$$(E, I) : I - A \to E(I - A), \qquad (E^{-1}, I) : E(I - A) \to I - A$$
$$(I, E) : I - A \to (I - A)E, \qquad (I, E^{-1}) : (I - A)E \to I - A.$$

A *positive equivalence* is the composition of basic positive equivalences (E_i, F_i), $(U, V) = (E_k \cdots E_1, F_1 \cdots F_k)$. We will only discuss the flow equivalence induced by the basic positive equivalence $(E, I) : I - A \to E(I - A)$. We can apply the same idea with the others. Define A' from the equation $E(I - A) = I - A'$. Then A and A' agree except in row i, where we have

$$A'_{ik} = A_{ik} + A_{jk} \text{ if } j \neq k, \text{ and}$$
$$A'_{ij} = A_{ij} + A_{jj} - 1.$$

Let $\mathcal{G}_A$ be a directed graph having A as the adjacency matrix with edge set $\mathcal{E}_A$. We can describe a directed graph $\mathcal{G}_{A'}$ which has A' as its adjacency matrix as follows. Pick an edge e which runs from a vertex i to a vertex j in $\mathcal{G}_A$(e exists because $A_{ij} > 0$ by assumption). The edge set $\mathcal{E}_{A'}$ will be obtained from $\mathcal{E}_A$ as follows:

a) remove e from $\mathcal{E}_A$.

b) For each vertex k, for every edge f in $\mathcal{E}_A$ from j to k add a new edge named $[ef]$ from i to k.

Let $\mathcal{E}_A^*$ be the set of new edges obtained from the above construction. Define a map $\gamma : \mathcal{E}_{A'} \to \mathcal{E}_A^*$ by $\gamma(f) = f$ and $\gamma([ef]) = ef$. Then γ induces a map $\widehat{\gamma} : X_A \to X_{A'}$, defined by the rule

$$\widehat{\gamma} : \cdots x'_{-2}x'_{-1}.x'_0x'_1 \cdots \mapsto \cdots \gamma(x'_{-2})\gamma(x'_{-1}).\gamma(x'_0)\gamma(x'_1) \cdots$$

Define $F_\gamma : \mathsf{S}X_{A'} \to \mathsf{S}X_A$ by setting, for x in $X_{A'}$ and $0 \leq t < 1$,

$$F_\gamma([x, t]) = \begin{cases} [\widehat{\gamma}(x), 2t], & \text{if } x \in X_{[ef]} \text{ for every edge of the form } [ef] \\ [\widehat{\gamma}(x), t], & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

where for an edge d in $\mathcal{E}_{A'}$, $X_d = \{x \in X_A : x_0 = d\}$.

Then F_γ is a flow equivalence (in particular, surjective, even though $\widehat{\gamma}$ is not).

2.5. **The Bowen-Franks representation.** The *Bowen-Franks group* of an $n \times n$ integral matrix A is $\mathrm{coker}(I-A) = \mathbb{Z}^n/(I-A)\mathbb{Z}^n$. For a shift of finite type (X_A, σ_A), Parry and Sullivan [44] showed $\det(I-A)$ is an invariant of flow equivalence, Bowen and Franks [2] showed $\mathrm{coker}(I-A)$ is an invariant of flow equivalence, and Franks [26] showed these invariants are complete for nontrivial irreducible shifts of finite type. There is a complete classfication of general SFTs up to flow equivalence, due to Huang [3, 9], but the general invariant is much more complicated.

Let (X_A, σ_A) be a nontrivial irreducible shift of finite type. Let $(U, V) : (I-A) \to U(I-A)V = I - A$ be a positive equivalence and let $F_{(U,V)}$ be an associated flow equivalence. (There can be many factorizations of (U, V) into basic positive equivalences, and they can define isotopically distinct flow equivalences.) We define $F^*_{(U,V)} : \mathrm{coker}(I-A) \to \mathrm{coker}(I-A)$ by the rule $[u] \to [uV]$ (we use the (U, V) action on row vectors to define $\mathrm{coker}(I-A)$.) Then $F^*_{(U,V)}$ is an isomorphism. Let $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{coker}(I-A))$ denote the group of group automorphisms of $\mathrm{coker}(I-A)$. We define the map $\rho_A : \mathcal{M}_A \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{coker}(I-A))$ by the rule $\rho_A : F_{(U,V)} \to F^*_{(U,V)}$ and call ρ_A the *Bowen-Franks representation* (in [3], this is called the isotopy futures representation). It was proved in [3] that this rule gives a well defined group epimorphism. In contrast, it was proved in [35] that there can be automorphisms of the dimension module of (X_A, σ_A) (as an ordered module) which are not induced by any element of $\mathrm{Aut}(X_A)$.

3. Actions, representations and group isomorphisms

The following result is fundamental for studying the mapping class group of an irreducible SFT.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose (X_A, σ_A) is an irreducible SFT and $F \in \mathcal{F}_A$. Then the following are equivalent.*

(1) F is isotopic to the identity.
(2) $F(\mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{O}$ for all suspension flow orbits $\mathcal{O}$ in $\mathsf{S}X_A$.
(3) $F(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$ for all circles
(4) $F(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$ for all but finitely many circles $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathsf{S}X_A$.

Proof. The implications (1) $\implies$ (2) $\implies$ (3) $\implies$ (4) hold generally, i.e. with (X, T) in place of (X_A, σ_A), for T a zero dimensional compact metric space X. In the case that (X, T) is an irreducible SFT, the implication (2) $\implies$ (1) is [5, Theorem 6.4]. Given (3), it follows from [5, Theorem 6.3] that the flow equivalence F up to isotopy is induced by an automorphism of the irreducible SFT. As recalled in the proof of [5, Theorem 6.4], an automorphism of an irreducible SFT which fixes all (or even all but finitely many) orbits must be a power of the shift [11, Theorem 2.5].

It remains to show (4) $\implies$ (3). Suppose U, V are words such that $\ldots UUU \ldots$ and $\ldots VVV \ldots$ represent periodic orbits of the irreducible SFT σ_A such that for the corresponding circles $\mathcal{C}_U, \mathcal{C}_V$ in $\mathsf{S}X_A$, $F(\mathcal{C}_U) = \mathcal{C}_V$. Then one can construct words W_1, W_2 such that for all positive integers n, the words $UW_1(W_2)^n$ represent distinct periodic orbits, with $F(\mathcal{C}(UW_1(W_2)^n)) \neq \mathcal{C}(UW_1(W_2)^n)$. So, if F moves one circle outside itself, then F moves infinitely many circles to different circles, and therefore (4) $\implies$ (3). $\square$

Remark 3.2. The implication (2) $\implies$ (1) of Theorem 3.1 fails in general, even for some reducible SFTs and mixing sofic shifts (see [5, Example 6.6]).

Suppose $T : X \to X$ is a homeomorphism of a compact zero dimensional metric space. Then the following groups are isomorphic: the first Čech cohomology group $\check{H}^1(\mathsf{S}X)$; the group $C(X,\mathbb{Z})/(I-T)C(X,\mathbb{Z})$; the Bruschlinsky group $C(\mathsf{S}X, S^1)/\sim$ of continuous maps from $\mathsf{S}X$ to the circle modulo isotopy. (For some exposition, see [15].) The group $C(X,\mathbb{Z})/(I-T)C(X,\mathbb{Z})$ is of considerable interest for dynamics (see [15, 27, 36], their references and their citers). A flow equivalence $F : \mathsf{S}X \to \mathsf{S}X$ induces an automorphism of each of these groups; for example, the automorphism of $C(\mathsf{S}X, S^1)/\sim$ is defined by the obvious rule $[f] \mapsto [f \circ F]$.

Corollary 3.3. *Suppose (X_A, σ_A) is a nontrivial irreducible shift of finite type. Then the action (by permutations) of the mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_A$ on the set of circles of $\mathsf{S}X_A$ is faithful. The action of $\mathcal{M}_A$ on the first Čech cohomology group of $\mathsf{S}X_A$ is also faithful.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.1, since a homeomorphism moving a circle in $\mathsf{S}X$ to another circle has nontrivial action on Čech cohomology. □

An important fact for analyzing the automorphism group of an irreducible SFT, and its actions, is that there are finite invariant sets (points of some period), whose union is dense. The next result (from [18]) shows in a strong way that we have nothing like that for the study of $\mathcal{M}_A$.

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose (X_A, σ_A) is a nontrivial irreducible shift of finite type. Then $\mathcal{M}_A$ acts n-transitively on the set of circles in $\mathsf{S}X_A$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let $\{\mathcal{C}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{C}_n\}$ and $\{\mathcal{C}'_1, \ldots, \mathcal{C}'_n\}$ be sets of n distinct circles. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, let x_i, x'_i be representatives of the circles $\mathcal{C}_i, \mathcal{C}'_i$ respectively. We take a k-block presentation of (X_A, σ_A) where k is large enough that any point of period p comes from a path of length p without repeated vertices except initial and terminal vertices and no two of these loops share a vertex. If one of these loops, say L, has length greater than 1, then we apply a basic positive equivalence which corresponds to cutting out an edge e on the loop L and replacing it with edges labeled $[ef]$, for the edge f following e. The new loop will have length $p-1$ in the new graph. Continuing in the same fashion, we get a loop of length 1. Since no two of these loops share a vertex, we can apply the same idea to another loop without changing the former loop. Continuing in this way, we get a graph with loops $y_1, \ldots, y_n, y'_1, \ldots, y'_n$ of length 1, each of which comes from the loop containing $x_1, \ldots, x_n, x'_1, \ldots, x'_n$. If necessary we continue to apply basic positive equivalences until we get a graph $\mathcal{G}_B$ with at least one point of least period n, for every positive integer n. Let (X_B, σ_B) be the SFT induced by the graph $\mathcal{G}_B$. (X_B, σ_B) is flow equivalent to (X_A, σ_A). Since $y_1, \ldots, y_n, y'_1, \ldots, y'_n$ are fixed points in (X_B, σ_B) and σ_B is mixing with points of all least periods, there is an inert automorphism $u \in \mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_B)$ such that $u(y_i) = y'_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ [8]. Extend u to a flow equivalence $\widehat{u} : \mathsf{S}X_B \to \mathsf{S}X_B$ by $\widehat{u}([x,t]) = [u(x), t]$. Let $G : \mathsf{S}X_A \to \mathsf{S}X_B$ be a flow equivalence arising from the construction. Then $F = G^{-1}\widehat{u}G$ is the required flow equivalence, i.e., $F(\mathcal{C}_i) = \mathcal{C}'_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. □

In contrast to Theorem 3.4, note that if a flow equivalence F maps a cross section C onto a cross section D, then the return maps to these cross sections

are topologically conjugate. The action of $\mathcal{F}_A$ on cross sections is very far from transitive.

The center of the automorphism group of an irreducible shift of finite type is simply the powers of the shift [48]. The next result (from [18]) is the analogue for the mapping class group.

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose (X_A, σ_A) is a nontrivial irreducible shift of finite type. Then the center of $\mathcal{M}_A$ is trivial.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a circle in $\mathsf{S}X_A$ and F be an element in the center of $\mathcal{M}_A$. Suppose that $F(\mathcal{C}) \neq \mathcal{C}$. Note that $F(\mathcal{C})$ is also a circle. Then there is a flow equivalence G such that $G(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$ and $G(F(\mathcal{C})) \neq F(\mathcal{C})$ by Theorem 3.4. Thus $FG(\mathcal{C}) = F(\mathcal{C}) \neq GF(\mathcal{C})$ which is a contradiction. Hence $F(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$ for all circles $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathsf{S}X_A$. Therefore, F is isotopic to the identity by Theorem 3.1. □

Next is an analogue for mapping class groups of a Kim-Roush theorem for automorphism groups.

Theorem 3.6. *Suppose A is a nontrivial irreducible SFT and σ_n denotes the full shift on n symbols. Then there is an embedding of mapping class groups, $\mathcal{M}(\sigma_n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\sigma_A)$.*

Proof. Kim and Roush in [33] constructed an embedding $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_n) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_A)$. Given a block code rule Φ for an automorphism ϕ of σ_n, by a clever trick they constructed a block code $\overline{\Phi}$ of X_A defining an automorphism $\overline{\phi}$ of X_A, in such a way that $\phi \to \overline{\phi}$ defines a group monomorphism. The same trick works in the mapping class case, using flow codes (see Appendix A) in place of block codes. A difference is that for the mapping class case, we do not construct an embedding which respects composition at the level of maps; but respecting composition up to isotopy is enough. □

It is open as to whether the automorphism groups of all nontrivial irreducible SFTs embed in each other; the state of knowledge in the mapping class case is the same:

Question 3.7. Do all mapping class groups of nontrivial irreducible SFTs embed into each other?

Recall $\mathcal{M}_A^o$ denotes the kernel of the Bowen-Franks representation $\mathcal{M}_A \to \mathrm{cok}(I - A)$. We are led to several questions about $\mathcal{M}_A^o$.

Question 3.8. Let σ_A be a nontrivial irreducible SFT. Is $\mathcal{M}_A^o$ simple? perfect (i.e. equal to its commutator subgroup)? generated by involutions?

There has recently been a burst of results constraining the structure of an automorphism group of a subshift (usually assumed to be minimal) of low complexity (e.g. polynomial complexity, or even just zero entropy). (See [50, 19, 21, 22, 20] and their references.) Here degree d polynomial complexity of a subshift means that the number of allowed words of length n is bounded by a polynomial $p(n)$ of degree d. The classes of zero entropy shifts, degree d polynomial complexity shifts and minimal shifts are each invariant under flow equivalence.

Question 3.9. Are there constraints on the structure of the mapping class group of a low complexity (minimal) shift, analogous to constraints on the automorphism group?

Some quite interesting full groups have been proved to be finitely generated or even finitely presented [32, 42].

Question 3.10. Let σ_A be a nontrivial irreducible SFT. Is $\mathcal{M}_A^o$ finitely generated?

Beause ρ_A is surjective, and the group of automorphisms of a finitely generated abelian group is itself finitely generated, we have that $\mathcal{M}_A$ is finitely generated if $\mathcal{M}_A^o$ is finitely generated. (In contrast, the group of automorphisms of the dimension module of X_A is often but not always a finitely generated group [12].)

4. Outer and nonspatial automorphisms

In this section we show that $\mathcal{M}_A$ has an outer automorphism. Extending work from [10], we give examples of $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_A)$ with outer and nonspatial automorphisms, and derive consequences of spatiality of isomorphisms from sufficiently rich subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_A)$.

It is natural to suspect that nontrivial irreducible SFTs σ_A, σ_B which are not flow equivalent cannot have isomorphic mapping class groups. (Although, given works of Riordam, Matsumoto and Matui (see [47, 41]), one could speculate that isomorphism of their Bowen-Franks groups alone might imply $\mathcal{M}_A \cong \mathcal{M}_B$.) Question 4.2 gives one standard approach to this possibility.

Definition 4.1. An isomorphism $\phi : G_1 \to G_2$ between groups of homeomorphisms is *spatial* if it is induced by some homeomorphism H (i.e., $\phi(g) = H^{-1}gH$).

Question 4.2. Suppose (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are nontrivial irreducible shifts of finite type. Is every isomorphism $\psi\colon \mathcal{M}_A^o \to \mathcal{M}_B^o$ spatial? Is every isomorphism $\psi\colon \mathcal{M}_A \to \mathcal{M}_B$ spatial?

Remark 4.3. A standard method for proving spatiality (and more) for a group G of homeomorphisms of the Cantor set (e.g. within full groups [28, 29, 32]) appeals to G having a sufficiently rich supply of maps which are the identity on large open sets. It's problematic (perhaps impossible) to find some analogue of this approach for $\mathcal{M}_A$ or $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_A)$. The only element of $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_A)$ which is the identity on a nonempty open set is the identity; and if F in $\mathcal{F}_A$ is the identity on an open neighborhood of a cross section, then $[F]$ is the identity in $\mathcal{M}_A$.

Recall, a system (X, T) is *indecomposable* if X is not the union of two disjoint nonempty T-invariant subsystems. Equivalently, $\mathsf{S}X$ is connected.

Definition 4.4. For an indecomposable system (X, T), the extended mapping class group of T, $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{ext}}(T)$, is the group $\mathcal{H}(T)$ of all homeomorphisms $\mathsf{S}X \to \mathsf{S}X$, modulo the subgroup of those isotopic to the identity in $\mathcal{H}(T)$.

With $\mathsf{S}X$ connected, an element of $\mathcal{H}(T)$ either respects orientation on all orbits or reverses orientation on all orbits. The mapping torus of (X, T^{-1}) can be identified with the mapping torus of (X, T), but with its unit speed suspension flow moving in the opposite direction. With this identification, $\mathcal{M}(T) = \mathcal{M}(T^{-1})$. An orientation reversing homeomorphism V of $\mathsf{S}X$ is a flow equivalence from T to T^{-1}. Such a V always exists when σ_A is a nontrivial irreducible SFT, because $(\sigma_A)^{-1}$ is conjugate to the SFT presented by the transpose of A, and the complete invariants agree on A and its transpose. Clearly $\mathcal{M}(T)$ is an index 2 normal subgroup of $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{ext}}(T)$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let σ_A be a nontrivial irreducible SFT. The action of the extended mapping class group $\mathcal{M}^{ext}(\sigma_A)$ by permutations on the circles in $\mathsf{S}X_A$ is faithful. Consequently, the center of $\mathcal{M}^{ext}(\sigma_A)$ is trivial and the outer automorphism group of $\mathcal{M}(\sigma_A)$ has cardinality at least two.*

Proof. Suppose F and G are homeomorphisms of $\mathsf{S}X_A$, with the same action by permutations on circles. If FG^{-1} is orientation preserving, then FG^{-1} is isotopic to the identity, by Corollary 3.3, so $[F] = [G]$ in $\mathcal{M}_A^{\text{ext}}$. Now suppose F is orientation preserving and G is orientation reversing. For definiteness, after passing to isotopic maps, we suppose they are given by flow codes. Let W, V be distinct words such that (WV^nW) is an X_A-word, for all n. With $O = WV^n$, consider a circle C which is the suspension of a periodic orbit for σ_A with defining block $(O)V^N(OO)V^N(OOO)V^N$. For n sufficiently large, and then N sufficiently larger than n, there will be large integers M, P and words $\overline{O}, \overline{V}, \widetilde{O}, \widetilde{V}$ with $\overline{V}^M$ much longer than $\overline{OOO}$ and $\widetilde{V}^P$ much longer than $\widetilde{O}\widetilde{O}\widetilde{O}$, such that the circles FC and GC will be suspensions of σ_A-orbits with defining blocks of the following forms:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{B} &= (\overline{O})\overline{V}^M(\overline{O}\,\overline{O})\overline{V}^M(\overline{O}\,\overline{O}\,\overline{O})\overline{V}^M \ , \quad \text{for } FC \ , \\ \widetilde{B} &= (\widetilde{O})\widetilde{V}^P(\widetilde{O}\widetilde{O}\widetilde{O})\widetilde{V}^P(\widetilde{O}\widetilde{O})\widetilde{V}^P \ , \qquad \text{for } GC \ . \end{aligned}$$

Now the blocks interrupting $\overline{V}$-periodicity in $(\overline{B})^\infty$ will have $\ldots 123123123 \ldots$ as a periodic relative size pattern, while the blocks interrupting of $\widetilde{V}$-periodicity in $(\widetilde{B})^\infty$ will have $\ldots 321321321 \ldots$ as a periodic relative size pattern. Thus $F\mathcal{C} \neq G\mathcal{C}$. This finishes the proof of faithfulness. The proof of triviality of the center of $\mathcal{M}_A^{\text{ext}}$ follows the proof of Theorem 3.5. Then, conjugation by an orientation reversing homeomorphism of $\mathsf{S}X_A$ defines an automorphism of $\mathcal{M}_A$ which is not an inner automorphism of $\mathcal{M}_A$. □

We now turn to the automorphism group of σ_A. The next definition formalizes a property used in [10], as recalled below.

Definition 4.6. An SFT σ_A is SIC if $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_A)$ is the internal direct sum of its center $\langle\sigma_A\rangle$ and a complementary normal subgroup containing the inert automorphism subgroup $\mathrm{Aut}_0(\sigma_A)$.

We will show next that there are many examples of SIC SFTs. We say λ is rootless in R if $\lambda = u^k$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in R$ implies $k = 1, \lambda = u$. For example, a positive integer is rootless in $\mathbb{Q}$ if it is rootless in $\mathbb{Z}$. A fundamental unit of a quadratic number ring is rootless. If λ is an algebraic number with infinite order, then it has a kth root in $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ for only finitely many k.

Proposition 4.7. *Suppose σ_A is a nontrivial irreducible SFT, and λ_A, the Perron eigenvalue of A, is rootless in $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda_A)$. Then σ_A is SIC.*

Proof. One part of the dimension representation ρ_A is the homomorphism μ which sends an automorphism U to the positive number by which $\rho_A(U)$ multiplies a Perron eigenvector of A. The image group under multiplication, $\mu(\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_A)) := H$, is finitely generated free abelian, with $\mu(\sigma_A) = \lambda_A$, the Perron eigenvalue of A. By the rootless assumption, H is the internal direct sum of $\langle\lambda_A\rangle$ and some complementary group N. The epimorphism $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_A) \to H/N$ splits (by $[\lambda_A^n] \mapsto \sigma_A^n$). Let $K = \mu^{-1}(N)$. Because the complementary subgroup $\langle\sigma_A\rangle$ is the center, the group $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_A)$ is the internal direct sum $K \oplus \langle\sigma_A\rangle$. □

Proposition 4.8. *Suppose (X_A, σ_A) is a nontrivial SIC irreducible SFT, $Aut(\sigma_A) \cong K \oplus \langle \sigma_A \rangle \cong K \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. Let ϕ be the automorphism of $Aut(\sigma_A)$ which in the latter notation is $(k, n) \mapsto (k, -n)$. Then ϕ is not spatial.*

Proof. For a contradiction, we may assume without loss of generality that σ_A is mixing. If ϕ were induced by a homeomorphism H, then H would be a conjugacy from σ_A to its inverse, with $HU = UH$ for every U in $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_A)$.

For any periodic point x of sufficiently large period, there is an inert automorphism U such that $Ux = \sigma_A x$. (This follows e.g. from any of the three papers [8, 12, 43]. For a precise argument, see the proof of Proposition 4.11 below.) Thus H commutes with σ_A on a dense set, and hence everywhere. This contradicts $H^{-1}\sigma_A H = \sigma_A^{-1}$. □

In [10, Proposition 4.2]), the automorphism ϕ above was used to produce an example of a nonspatial automorphism of $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_A)$, for a mixing SFT σ_A such that $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_A) \cong \mathrm{Aut}_0(\sigma_A) \oplus \langle \sigma_A \rangle$ and σ_A is not conjugate to its inverse. The proof in [10] was simply to note that spatiality of ϕ would require ϕ to be a conjugacy from σ_A to its (nonexistent) inverse.

Remark 4.9. For a nontrivial SIC mixing SFT σ_A which is topologically conjugate to its inverse (such as a rootless full shift), the outer automorphism group of $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_A)$ has cardinality at least four. (There is the nonspatial involution, and another element of order two in $\mathrm{Out}(\sigma_A)$ arising from conjugating by a topological conjugacy of σ_A and its inverse, essentially by the argument proving Theorem 4.5.) The action on periodic points of conjugacies of σ_A and σ_A^{-1} is studied in [10, 37].

Although there can be nonspatial automorphisms of $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_A)$, we do not know whether this is possible for various distinguished subgroups (such as the commutator). This motivates the following propositions.

Proposition 4.10. *Suppose σ_A is a nontrivial irreducible SFT, (X, T) is a zero dimensional system and H is a subgroup of $Aut(\sigma_A)$ satisfying the following:*

(1) $\{x \in X_A : \exists U \in H, Ux = \sigma_A x\}$ is dense in X_A.
(2) The centralizer of H in $Aut(\sigma_A)$ equals $\langle \sigma_A \rangle$.

Suppose $\phi : H \to \phi(H)$ is a spatial isomorphism to a subgroup of $Aut(T)$; i.e., $\phi : U \mapsto pUp^{-1}$, with $p : X_A \to X$ a homeomorphism. Then $p^{-1}Tp$ equals σ_A or σ_A^{-1}, and p induces a spatial automorphism $Aut(\sigma_A) \to Aut(T)$.

Proof. Let $\psi = p^{-1}Tp$. By (1), $\psi\sigma_A = \sigma_A\psi$ on a dense set, hence everywhere. By (2), $\psi \in \langle \sigma_A \rangle$. Because ψ and σ_A have equal entropy, ψ equals σ_A or σ_A^{-1}. □

Proposition 4.11. *Suppose σ_A is a nontrivial mixing SFT, and H is a subgroup of $Aut(\sigma_A)$ containing the subgroup*

$$K := \left\langle \{aba^{-1}b^{-1} : \{a, b\} \subset Aut_0(\sigma_A), a^2 = b^2 = Id\} \right\rangle.$$

Then H satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) of Proposition 4.10.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P}_n$ be be the set of σ_A orbits of cardinality n. Pick N such that $n \geq N$ implies $|\mathcal{P}_n| \geq 4$. Now suppose $n \geq N$. Given x, y in distinct orbits in $\mathcal{P}_n$, we can choose an inert involution $U(x, y)$ which exchanges x and y and is the identity on points of period at most n which are not in the orbits of x and y. (This follows from [8, Lemma 2.3(a)], and the freedom to "vary the embedding" stated in its proof.) Suppose x, y, z are in distinct orbits in $\mathcal{P}_n$. Let $a = U(x, y)$,

$b = U(y,z)$, $k(x,y,z) = aba^{-1}b^{-1} \in K$. Then $k(x,y,z)$ cyclically permutes x,y,z and is the identity map on points of period at most n outside the orbits of a, b and c. The map $k = k(\sigma_A(x),y,z)k(x,y,z)k(x,y,z)$ satisfies $k(x) = \sigma_A(x)$; this shows H satisfies (1). The maps $k(x,y,z)$ induce all 3-cycle permutations of $\mathcal{P}_n$, and therefore K induces all even permutations of $\mathcal{P}_n$. Because $|\mathcal{P}_n| \geq 4$, no nontrivial permutation of $\mathcal{P}_n$ commutes with every even permutation. Thus an automorphism in the centralizer of K maps $\mathcal{O}$ to $\mathcal{O}$, for all but finitely many of the finite orbits $\mathcal{O}$, and thus must be a power of the shift. □

For mixing SFTs σ_C, let G_C denote $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_C)$ or $\mathrm{Aut}_0(\sigma_C)$, and let H_C denote some associated subgroup (such as the commutator, or the subgroup generated by involutions) such that (i) H_C satisfies the containment assumption of Proposition 4.11, and (ii) any group isomorphism $G_A \to G_B$ must restrict to an isomorphism $H_A \to H_B$. Showing any isomorphism $H_A \to H_B$ must be spatial would show that the group isomorphism class of H_A (and also the group isomorphism class of G_A) classifies σ_A up to flip conjugacy.

5. Invariant cross sections and automorphisms

In this section we show how some elements of the mapping class group are induced by automorphisms of flow equivalent systems, and show for a nontrivial irreducible SFT (X_A, σ_A) that these are (by far) not all of $\mathcal{M}_A$. For (X,T), let $\widetilde{X}$ denote the cross section $\{[x,0] \in \mathsf{S}X : x \in X\}$.

Definition 5.1. If $u \in \mathrm{Aut}(T)$, then $\widehat{u} : \mathsf{S}X \to \mathsf{S}X$ is the flow equivalence (actually a self-conjugacy of the suspension flow) defined by $\widehat{u} : [x,t) \mapsto [u(x),t)$, $0 \leq t < 1$.

Definition 5.2. Let $F : \mathsf{S}X \to \mathsf{S}X$ be a flow equivalence. A cross section C of $\mathsf{S}X$ is an *invariant cross section* for F if $F(C) = C$.

For example, $\widetilde{X}$ is an invariant cross section for $\widehat{u}$, for every u in $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$.

Definition 5.3. An equivalence $F : \mathsf{S}X \to \mathsf{S}X$ is induced by an automorphism v of the return map ρ_C to an invariant cross section C if $F(y) = v(y)$ for all y in C.

If flow equivalences F, F' from $\mathsf{S}X$ to $\mathsf{S}X$ have the same invariant cross section C, and $F(y) = F'(y)$ for all y in C, then F and F' are isotopic.

Now we can spell out a straightforward but useful correspondence.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $T : X \to X$ be a homeomorphism of a compact zero dimensional metric space.*

1. *Suppose $F : \mathsf{S}X \to \mathsf{S}X$ is a flow equivalence with an invariant cross section C. Then F is a flow equivalence induced by an automorphism of the first return map ρ_c under the suspension flow.*
2. *Conversely, suppose (X',T') is another system, and $\widetilde{X'}$ denotes the cross section $\{[x,0] : x \in X'\}$ of $\mathsf{S}X'$. Suppose $F : \mathsf{S}X' \to \mathsf{S}X$ is a flow equivalence. Then for every u in $Aut(T')$, $F\widehat{u}F^{-1}$ is a flow equivalence $\mathsf{S}X \to \mathsf{S}X$; $F(\widetilde{X'})$ is an invariant cross section for $F\widehat{u}F^{-1}$; and (X',u) is topologically conjugate to the return map $F(\widetilde{X'}) \to F(\widetilde{X'})$ under the suspension flow on $\mathsf{S}X$. The map $u \mapsto F\widehat{u}F^{-1}$ induces a homomorphism $\phi_F : Aut(T') \to \mathcal{M}(T)$.*

Proof. For (1), let $u = F|_C$. Then $u : C \to C$ is a homeomorphism. Therefore $u \in \mathrm{Aut}(T_c)$.

For (2), the homomorphism ϕ_F is a composition of group homomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Aut}(T') \to \mathcal{F}(T') \to \mathcal{F}(T) \to \mathcal{M}(T)$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the group of self flow equivalences. The second homomorphism is bijective and the third is surjective. □

Theorem 5.5. *For a nontrivial irreducible SFT (X_A, σ_A), let ϕ be the map $Aut(\sigma_A) \to \mathcal{M}_A$ defined by $u \mapsto \widehat{u}$. Then $Ker(\phi) = \langle \sigma_A \rangle$, the cyclic group generated by σ_A.*

Proof. Clearly $\mathrm{Ker}(\phi) \supset \langle \sigma_A \rangle$. Now suppose $u \in \mathrm{Ker}(\phi)$. By Theorem 3.1, for every circle $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathsf{S}X_A$, $\widehat{u}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$. It follows that the automorphism u maps each finite σ_A orbit to itself. Because (X_A, σ_A) is an irreducible SFT, it follows from [11, Theorem 2.5], that u is a power of the shift. □

Theorem 5.6. *Suppose (X_A, σ_A) is a nontrivial irreducible SFT. Then for every irreducible SFT σ_B flow equivalent to σ_A, $\mathcal{M}_A$ contains a copy of $Aut(\sigma_B)/\langle \sigma_B \rangle$. Every flow equivalence $\mathsf{S}X_A \to \mathsf{S}X_A$ with an invariant cross section arises from an element of some such $Aut(\sigma_B)$, as described in Theorem 5.4.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 5.4, Theorem 5.5 and the fact that a homeomorphism flow equivalent to a nontrivial irreducible SFT must itself be a nontrivial irreducible SFT. □

Example 5.7. We do not know if there is any special algebraic relationship between the automorphism groups of flow equivalent nontrivial irreducible SFTs (versus arbitrary nontrivial irreducible SFTs). We show now that if (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are flow equivalent mixing SFTs, then it is not necessarily true that the groups $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_A)/\langle \sigma_A \rangle$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_B)/\langle \sigma_B \rangle$ are isomorphic. Consider

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad B = A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad C = [2] .$$

The matrices B and C define flow equivalent SFTs (if D is A or B, then $\mathrm{coker}(I - D)$ is trivial and $\det(I - D) = -1$). The center of the automorphism group of an irreducible SFT is the powers of the shift [48]. But in $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_B)$, the center has a square root (because σ_{A^2} is conjugate to $(\sigma_A)^2$), while in $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_C)$ and the center does not, because the 2-shift does not have a square root [38].

Proposition 5.8. *Suppose (X, T) contains a subsystem (X', T') which is a nontrivial irreducible shift of finite type. Suppose $F \in \mathcal{F}(T)$ and F maps $\mathsf{S}X'$ (a subset of $\mathsf{S}X$) into itself but not onto itself. Then no element of $[F]$ has an invariant cross section.*

Proof. Any element of $[F]$ will also map $\mathsf{S}X'$ into itself but not onto itself. So it suffices to suppose there is an invariant cross section C for F, and derive a contradiction. By Proposition 5.4, $F : \mathsf{S}X \to \mathsf{S}X$ is induced by an automorphism u of the return map T_c to some cross section C. The restriction of T_c to $C \cap \mathsf{S}X'$ is an irreducible SFT, because it is flow equivalent to the irreducible SFT (X', T'), since $C \cap \mathsf{S}X'$ is a cross section for the flow on $\mathsf{S}X'$. Therefore the restriction of u to $C \cap Y'$, being an injection into $C \cap \mathsf{S}X'$, must be a surjection. But this implies F maps $\mathsf{S}X'$ onto itself, which is a contradiction. □

The next result, generalizing a construction from [18], shows that flow equivalences satisfying the assumptions of Proposition 5.8 are abundant. We don't understand much about them.

Theorem 5.9. *Let (X_A, σ_A) be a nontrivial irreducible SFT. Let (X', σ') be a proper subsystem which is a nontrivial irreducible SFT. Then there is an infinite collection of flow equivalences $F : \mathsf{S}X_A \to \mathsf{S}X_A$, representing distinct elements of $\mathcal{M}_A$, such that F maps $\mathsf{S}X'$ into itself but not onto itself (and therefore no element of $[F]$ has an invariant cross section).*

Proof. From the complete invariants for flow equivalence of nontrivial irreducible SFTs, and Krieger's Embedding Theorem, one can find a sequence $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ of distinct (even disjoint) nontrivial irreducible SFTs which are proper subsystems of X' and are flow equivalent to X'. By the Extension Theorem in [7], a flow equivalence $F'_n : \mathsf{S}X' \to \mathsf{S}X_n \subset \mathsf{S}X_A$ extends to a flow equivalence $F_n : \mathsf{S}X_A \to \mathsf{S}X_A$. The classes $[F_n]$ are distinct, because the images $F'_n(\mathsf{S}X')$ are distinct. □

Next we exhibit an example, not relying on an appeal to an extension theorem, of a flow equivalence F such that no element of $[F]$ has an invariant cross section.

Example 5.10. Let $\sigma : X \to X$ be the full shift on three symbols $\{0, 1, 2\}$. If $W = W_1 W_2 ...$ is any sequence on these symbols and $W_1 \neq 2$, then W has a unique prefix in the set $\mathcal{W} = \{00, 01, 02, 1\}$; likewise, W has a unique prefix in the set $\mathcal{W}' = \{10, 11, 12, 0\}$. Let $\mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{W}'$ be the bijection given by $00 \mapsto 0, 01 \mapsto 10, 02 \mapsto 12, 1 \mapsto 11$. We claim there is a flow equivalence $F : \mathsf{S}X \to \mathsf{S}X$ corresponding to the change $2W \to 2W'$ wherever $W \in \mathcal{W}$ and $2W$ occurs in a point of X. Let $X' \subset X$ be the full 2-shift on symbols $\{1, 2\}$; let X'' be the points of X' in which the word 212 does not occur. Then F maps $\mathsf{S}X'$ onto $\mathsf{S}X''$, a proper subset of $\mathsf{S}X'$, so no element of $[F]$ has an invariant cross section.

To be precise, we will construct F as a flow code, as described in the appendix. First, we define a discrete cross section C of X as the disjoint union of two "state sets" V_0 and V_1, with $V_0 = \{x \in X : x_{-1} = 2\}$, $V_1 = \{x \in X : x_{-2}x_{-1} \in \{21, 00, 01, 10, 11\}$. If $x \in C$, and k is the least positive integer such that $\sigma^k(x) \in C$, then $x_0 \ldots x_{k-1}$ is a C-return word W, of length k (here k is 0 or 1). Whether $\sigma^k(x)$ is in V_0 or V_1 is determined by the state set containing x and the return word W. Thus the return words can be used to label edges of a directed graph with states V_0, V_1. The adjacency matrix $\widetilde{A}$ of this word-labeled graph (whose entries are formal sums of labeling words), and the adjacency matrix A of the underlying graph, are as follows:

$$\widetilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 + 02 & 00 + 01 + 1 \\ 2 & 0 + 1 \end{pmatrix} , \qquad A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Similarly, we define another discrete cross section, C', as the disjoint union of state sets $V_0 = \{x \in X : x_{-1} = 2\}$, $V'_1 = \{x \in X : x_{-2}x_{-1} \in \{20, 00, 01, 10, 11\}$. As happened with C, the C' return words label edges of a graph with states V_0 and V'_1, with labeled and unlabeled adjacency matrices

$$\widetilde{A'} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 + 12 & 0 + 10 + 11 \\ 2 & 0 + 1 \end{pmatrix} , \qquad A' = A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Now we may define a homeomorphism $\phi : C \to C'$, taking V_0 to V_0 and V_1 to V'_1, by a C, C' word block code $W_0 \mapsto W'_0$ described by an input-output automaton

which simply changes word labels:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 \to 2\ ,\ 02 \to 12 & \qquad & 00 \to 0\ ,\ 01 \to 10\ ,\ 1 \to 11 \\ 2 \to 2 & & 0 \to 0\ ,1 \to 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This ϕ is a conjugacy of the return maps to C and C' (each of which is conjugate to the SFT σ_A). The induced map $\mathsf{S}\phi : \mathsf{S}X \to \mathsf{S}X$ is the flow equivalence F we require.

Question 5.11. Is the mapping class group of a nontrivial irreducible SFT generated by elements which have an invariant cross section?

Proposition 5.12. *Let (X_A, σ_A) be a nontrivial irreducible SFT, with $F \in \mathcal{F}_A$. If there is a circle $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\{F^n(\mathcal{C}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an infinite collection of circles then no element of $[F]$ has an invariant cross section.*

Proof. If F has an invariant cross section C, then F is determined up to isotopy by an automorphism U of the return map ρ_C. As ρ_C is lanother irreducible SFT, every periodic point of ρ_C lies in a finite U-invariant set, so every circle in X_A lies in a finite F-invariant set of circles. □

We do not know if the converse to Proposition 5.12 is true.

Example 5.13. In Example 5.10, the forward F orbit of the circle through the periodic orbit $(21)^\infty$ is the union of infinitely many circles (those through the periodic orbits of $(21^n)^\infty$, $n \geq 1$).

6. Residual finiteness

Definition 6.1. Let G be a group. G is *residually finite* if for every pair of distinct elements g, h in G, there is a homomorphism ϕ from G to a finite group such that $\phi(g) \neq \phi(h)$.

The automorphism group of a subshift need not be residually finite. There is a minimal subshift whose automorphism group contains a copy of $\mathbb{Q}$ [12], and therefore is not residually finite. At another extreme, we thank V. Salo for pointing out to us residual finiteness often fails to hold for reducible systems, as in work in progress of Salo and Schraudner, and examples such as the following, related to examples in [49]. Let S_∞ denote the increasing union of the groups S_n, the permutations of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, identified with the permutations π of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $\pi(k) = k$ if $k > n$. Then S_∞ contains A_∞, the increasing union of the alternating groups A_n. Because A_∞ is an infinite simple group, it is not residually finite. Let $A = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. One easily checks that $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_A)$ contains a copy of S_∞, and thus is not residually finite.

In contrast, the automorphism group of an irreducible shift of finite type (or any subshift with dense periodic points) is residually finite [12].

Theorem 6.2. *Let X_A be a nontrivial irreducible SFT. Then $\mathcal{M}_A$ is not residually finite.*

Proof. For a proof, it suffices to define a monomorphism $S_\infty \to \mathcal{M}_A$. After passing from X_A to a topologically conjugate shift, we may assume that there is a symbol α such that there are infinitely many distinct words $V_1, V_2, \ldots$ such that for all k,

$\alpha V_k \alpha$ is an allowed word and α does not occur in V_k. Informally, an element π of S_∞ will act simply by replacing words $\alpha V_k \alpha$ with $\alpha V_{\pi(k)} \alpha$.

To make this precise we use flow codes (described in Appendix A). For n in $\mathbb{N}$, define $\ell(n) = |V_n| + 1$, and $K_n = \{x \in X_A : x_0 \dots x_{\ell(n)} = \alpha V_n \alpha\}$. Given N, define a discrete cross section

$$C_N = X_A \setminus \left(\cup_{n=1}^{N} \cup_{j=1}^{\ell(n)-1} \sigma_A^j K_n \right) .$$

Let $\mathcal{W}_N$ be the set of return words to C_N. Given π in S_N, define a word block code

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\pi : \ & \mathcal{W}_N \to \mathcal{W}_N \\ & \alpha V_j \mapsto \alpha V_{\pi(j)} \ , \quad 1 \le j \le N \ , \\ & W \mapsto W \ , \qquad \text{if } W \text{ is a symbol .} \end{aligned}$$

Φ_π defines a continuous map $\phi_\pi : C_N \to C_N$. The rule $\pi \mapsto \phi_\pi$ defines a monomorphism from S_N into the group of homeomorphisms $C_N \to C_N$, and therefore $\pi \mapsto \mathsf{S}\phi_\pi$ defines a group monomorphism $S_N \to \mathcal{F}_A$. It is then easy to see (from distinct actions on periodic orbits) that $\pi \mapsto [\phi_\pi]$ is a group monomorphism $S_N \to \mathcal{M}_A$. Finally, the definition of ϕ_π does not change with increasing N, so we have an embedding $S_\infty \to \mathcal{F}_A$ producing the embedding $S_\infty \to \mathcal{M}_A$. □

The sofic groups introduced by Gromov are an important simultaneous generalization of amenable and residually finite groups. (See e.g. [17, 45, 55] for definitions and a start on the large literature around sofic groups) So far, no countable group has been proven to be nonsofic. The mapping class group of a nontrivial irreducible SFT σ_A is not residually finite, and it is not amenable (as $\mathcal{M}_A$ contains a copy of $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_A)/\langle\sigma_A\rangle$, which contains free groups [12]).

Question 6.3. Is $\mathcal{M}_A^o$ a sofic group?

Remark 6.4. With a somewhat more complicated proof appealing to canonical covers, we expect that the basic idea of Theorem 6.2 can be used to show that the mapping class group of a positive entropy sofic shift is not residually finite. Likewise, we expect a subshift which is a positive entropy synchronized system [1] will have a mapping class group which is not residually finite.

7. Solvable word problem

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 7.10, which shows that the mapping class group of an irreducible SFT has solvable word problem. We begin with definitions and context.

The *alphabet* $\mathcal{A}(T)$ of a subshift (X, T) is its symbol set. For $j \le k$, $\mathcal{W}(X, j, k)$ denotes $\{x_j \dots x_k : x \in X\}$, the words of length $k - j + 1$ occurring in points of X. The language of a subshift (X, T) is $\cup_{n \ge 0} \mathcal{W}(X, 0, n)$.

Definition 7.1. A subshift (X, T) has a decidable language if there is an algorithm which given any finite word W on $\mathcal{A}(T)$ decides whether W is in the language of X.

Definition 7.2. A group G has solvable word problem if for every finite subset E of G there is an algorithm which given any product $g = g_m \dots g_1$ of elements of E decides whether g is the identity.

An old observation of Kitchens [12] notes that the automorphism group of a shift of finite type has a solvable word problem. We thank Mike Hochman for communicating to us the following sharper result.

Proposition 7.3. *Suppose (X,T) is a subshift with decidable language (for example, any shift of finite type). Then Aut(T) has solvable word problem.*

Proof. Given $E = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k\} \subset \mathrm{Aut}(T)$, there are $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and functions $\Phi_i : \mathcal{W}(-kN, kN)(T) \to \mathcal{A}(T)$ such that Φ_i defines ϕ_i as a block code, i.e. for all x and n, $(\phi_i x)_n = \Phi_i(x_{n-N} \dots x_{n+N})$. Suppose $\phi = \phi_{j_m} \dots \phi_{j_1}$. Then for all x in X, $(\phi x)_i = \Phi(x_{i-kN} \dots x_{i+kN})$, where Φ is a rule mechanically computed from the rules $\Phi_k, \dots, \Phi_1$ [30]. However, the domain of Φ might properly contain the set $\mathcal{W}(-kN, kN)$ (even when the set $\mathcal{W}(-N, N)$ used to define the Φ_i is known). The map ϕ is the identity if and only if $\Phi(x_{-kN} \dots x_{kN}) = x_0$ for all words $x_{-kN} \dots x_{kN}$ in $\mathcal{W}(-kN, kN)$; because (X,T) has solvable word problem, this set is known and can be checked. □

Definition 7.4. A locally constant function on X is *given by an explicit rule* if for some N there is given a function P from some superset of $\mathcal{W}(X, -N, N)$ to $\mathbb{Z}$ such that for all x in X, $p(x) = P(x_{-N} \dots x_N)$ (or if p is given by data from which such a P could be algorithmically produced).

Definition 7.5. A subshift (X,T) has solvable $\mathbb{Z}$-cocycle triviality problem if there is an algorithm which decides for any explicitly given continuous (i.e. locally constant) function $p : X \to \mathbb{Z}$ whether there is a continuous function $q : X \to Z$ such that $p = (q \circ T) - q$ (i.e., p is a coboundary in $C(X, \mathbb{Z})$, with transfer function q).

If a subshift (X,T) has solvable word problem, then for an explicitly given p in $C(X, \mathbb{Z})$ known to be a coboundary there is a procedure which will produce an explicitly defined q such that $p = (q \circ T) - q$ (enumerate the possible q and test them).

Remark 7.6. As is well known, for an irreducible shift of finite type (X,T), and p defined by P, N as in Definition 7.4, the following are equivalent.

(1) There is a continuous $q : X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $p = (q \circ T) - q$.
(2) There is a continuous $q : X \to \mathbb{Z}$ such that $p = (q \circ T) - q$, and for all x, $q(x)$ depends only on the word $x_{-N} \dots x_N$.
(3) If $x \in X$ and $k \leq 2N + 1$ and $T^k(x) = x$, then $\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} p(T^n x) = 0$.

(Here, (1) $\implies$ (2) because T has a dense orbit, and after a standard passage to a higher block presentation (e.g. [39, Prop. 1.5.12]) the implication (3) $\implies$ (1) reduces to an old graph argument (recalled in [5, Lemma 6.1]), which also gives a decent algorithm for producing the transfer function q of (2).)

Clearly, an irreducible SFT has solvable $\mathbb{Z}$-cocycle triviality problem.

To prove Theorem 7.10, we emulate the proof of Proposition 7.3, using flow codes in place of block codes. There are two difficulties. First, we need for flow codes a computational analogue of composition of block codes. This is addressed in Appendix A. Second, we need an algorithm to determine triviality of $[F]$ in $\mathcal{M}(T)$ when F is given by a flow code. We address the latter issue now.

A subshift (X,T) is infinite if the set X contains infinitely many points. A subshift is transitive if it has a dense orbit.

Lemma 7.7. *Suppose (X,T) is a subshift, C is an explicitly given discrete cross section for (X,T) and $\phi : C \to D$ is a flow code defined by an explicitly given word code (Φ, C).*

Then the following are equivalent.

(1) $[\mathsf{S}\phi]$ is trivial in $\mathcal{M}(T)$.

(2) There is a continuous function $b : C \to \mathbb{Z}$ such that for all x in C, the following hold:

(a) The word $W_0'(x)$ equals the word $x_{b(x)} \dots x_{b(x)+|W_0'|-1}$.

(b) $b(x) + |W_0'(x)| = |W_0(x)| + b(T^{|W_0(x)|}(x))$

Proof. Let α_t denote the time t map of the suspension flow on $\mathsf{S}X$. Let $\Phi : W_{-N} \dots W_N \to W'$ be the explicitly given word code for ϕ, mapping $(2N+1)$-blocks of C-return words to a return word for D. For x in C with return block $W_{-N}^N(x)$, there is a concrete description of return times of x to C and $\mathsf{S}\phi(x)$ to D:

$$\tau_C(x) = |W_0(x)| \qquad \text{and} \qquad \tau_D(\mathsf{S}\phi(x)) = |W_0'(x)| \ . \tag{7.8}$$

The condition (2)(b) states that the functions $x \mapsto \tau_C(x)$ and $x \mapsto \tau_D(\mathsf{S}\phi(x))$ are cohomologous in $C(X,\mathbb{Z})$.

For a flow equivalence $F : \mathsf{S}X \to \mathsf{S}X$ which maps each orbit to itself, and maps a cross section C onto a cross section D, the following conditions are equivalent (see e.g. [5, Theorem 3.3]):

(1) F is trivial in (T).

(2) There is a continuous function $\beta : \mathsf{S}X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $F : y \mapsto \alpha_{\beta(y)}(y)$, for all y in $\mathsf{S}X$.

In the case $F = \mathsf{S}\phi$, given the second condition, β must assume integer values on C. Define $b : C \to \mathbb{Z}$ by $b : x \mapsto \beta([x,0])$. Then b satisfies the conditions (a),(b) of the Lemma. The (cocycle) condition (b) is a consistency condition: each side of (b) gives a computation of the number t (strictly greater than $b(x)$) such that $\mathsf{S}\phi$ maps the orbit interval $\{\alpha_s([x,0]) : 0 \le s \le |W_0(x)|\}$ onto the orbit interval $\{\alpha_s([x,0]) : b(x) \le s \le t\}$.

Conversely, suppose $b : C \to \mathbb{Z}$ is a continuous function satisfying (a) and (b). By induction, using the given word block code, we see that for all x in C and all nonnegative integers k, for $s = \sum_{i=0}^{k} |W_i'(x)|$ we have $(\phi x)_0 \dots (\phi x)_k = x_{b(x)} \dots x_{s-1}$. Because the return map to C is a homeomorphism, we then have for $r = \sum_{i=-k}^{-1} |W_i'(x)|$ that $(\phi x)_{-r} \dots (\phi x)_{-1} = x_{b(x)-r} \dots x_{b(x)-1}$. Consequently, for all x in C, $\mathsf{S}\phi : [x,0] \to [T^{b(x)}, 0]$, so $\mathsf{S}\phi$ maps each flow orbit to itself. Finally, from b we can define the continuous function β of condition (2), as follows. For x in C, set $r(x) = |W_0'(x)|/|W_0(x)|$ and

$$\beta : [x,t] \mapsto [x, b(x) + tr(x)] \ , \quad x \in C, 0 \le t < |W_0(x)| \ .$$

This rule defines β on the entire mapping torus. The piecewise linearity of β on the flow segments between returns to the cross section agrees with the flow code definition. □

Lemma 7.9. *Suppose (X,T) is a transitive subshift (for example, any irreducible SFT) with decidable language and solvable $\mathbb{Z}$-cocycle triviality problem. Suppose C is an explicitly given discrete cross section for (X,T) and $\phi : C \to \overline{C}$ is a flow code defined by an explicitly given word code (Φ, C).*

Then there is a procedure which decides whether $\mathsf{S}\phi$ *is a flow equivalence* $\mathsf{S}X \to \mathsf{S}X$ *such that* $[\mathsf{S}\phi]$ *is trivial in* $\mathcal{M}(T)$.

Proof. We will decide whether there is a function $b \in C(X, \mathbb{Z})$ satisfying the conditions (a),(b) of Lemma 7.7. We are explicitly given the locally constant return time functions $\tau_C(x) = |W_0(x)|$ and $\tau_D(\phi x) = |W_0'(\phi x)|$. Because there is a dense T orbit, a solution b to (b) is unique up to an additive constant. Thus, either every solution to (b) also satisfies (a), or no solution to (b) also satisfies (a).

By the $\mathbb{Z}$-cocyle triviality and solvable word problem assumptions, there is an algorithm which produces $b \in C(X, Z)$ such that $|W_0'(x)| - |W_0(x)| = b(T^{|W_0(x)|}(x)) - b(x)$, if such a b exists. So, suppose we have $b \in C(X, \mathbb{Z})$ satisfying condition (b) of Lemma 7.7. From the explicitly given C and word code ϕ, we can compute a positive integer M such that for all x, the word $x_{-M} \dots x_M$ determines $\mathcal{W}_{-N}^{N}(x)$ (and thus $W_0'(x)$), and also $M > \max|b| + \max|W_0'|$. Now whether (a) holds can be detected by testing each word $x_{-M} \dots x_M$ occuring in X. By the assumed decidability of the language, there is an algorithm to list these words. □

Theorem 7.10. *Suppose* (X, T) *is a transitive subshift with decidable language and solvable* $\mathbb{Z}$*-cocycle triviality problem (for example, any irreducible SFT). Then* $\mathcal{M}(T)$ *has solvable word problem.*

Proof. Given $[F_1], \dots, [F_k]$ in $\mathcal{M}(T)$, for $1 \le i \le k$ let Φ_i be an explicitly given word code defining a homeomorphism of discrete cross sections, $\phi_i : C_i \to D_i$, with $\mathsf{S}\phi_i$ isotopic to F_i. Suppose $[F] = [F_{i_j}][F_{i_{j-1}}] \cdots [F_{i_1}]$ in $\mathcal{M}(T)$.

By Proposition A.3, there is an algorithm which computes a rule Φ, defining a homeomorphism $\phi : C \to D$ of explicitly given cross sections of (X, T), such that $[\mathsf{S}\phi] = [F]$. By Lemma 7.9, there is then a procedure which decides whether $[\mathsf{S}\phi]$ is trivial in $\mathcal{M}(T)$. □

8. Conjugacy classes of involutions

Throughout this section, A is a matrix defining a nontrivial irreducible SFT. We will prove and exploit Theorem 8.1, which shows how conjugacy classes of many involutions in $\mathcal{M}_A$ are classified as G-flow equivalence classes of mixing G-SFTs, for $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 := \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

We prepare for the statement of Theorem 8.1 with some definitions and background. In this paper, by a G-SFT we mean a shift of finite type together with a continuous (not necessarily free) action of a finite group G by homeomorphisms which commute with the shift. A G-SFT is mixing (irreducible) if it is mixing (irreducuble) as an SFT. A continuous G action on an SFT X_A lifts to a continuous G action on its mapping torus $\mathsf{S}X_A$. Two G-SFTs are G-flow equivalent if there is an orientation preserving homeomorphism between their mapping tori which intertwines the induced G actions.

Recall, if C is a cross section for a flow equivalence $F : \mathsf{S}X_A \to \mathsf{S}X_A$, and $\rho_C : C \to C$ is the return map to C under the flow, then ρ_C is flow equivalent to σ_A and in particular is a nontrivial irreducible SFT. If C is also invariant under an involution V in $\mathcal{F}_A$, then the pair $T = (\rho_C, V)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-SFT; we say this $\mathbb{Z}_2$-SFT is *associated* to V, and to $\mathsf{S}X_A$.

Theorem 8.1. *For* $i = 1, 2$*: suppose* V_i *in* $\mathcal{F}_A$ *is an involution. Then* V_i *has an invariant cross section. Let* C_i *be any invariant cross section for* V_i*, with* T_i *the associated* $\mathbb{Z}_2$*-SFT. Then the following are equivalent.*

(1) $[V_1]$ and $[V_2]$ are conjugate elements in $\mathcal{M}_A$.
(2) The $\mathbb{Z}_2$-SFTs T_1 and T_2 are $\mathbb{Z}_2$-flow equivalent.

Proof. The involutions V_1, V_2 have invariant cross sections by Lemma 8.3. By Lemma 8.4, there is an involution V in $\mathcal{F}_A$ which equals V_2 on C_2 (and therefore defines the same associated $\mathbb{Z}_2$-SFT), such that there is a flow equivalence J such that $J^{-1}V_1J = V$. This shows the two $\mathbb{Z}_2$-SFTs are $\mathbb{Z}_2$-flow equivalent. □

If V is an involution in $\mathcal{F}_A$, then the fixed point set of its restriction to an invariant cross section C will, as a subsystem of (C, ρ_C), be an SFT. Theorem 8.1 shows that the flow equivalence class of this SFT is an invariant of the conjugacy class of $[V]$ in $\mathcal{M}_A$, even though there can be other elements W in $[V]$ (but not other involutions) with fixed point set containing a submapping torus whose intersection with C properly contains $C \cap \mathrm{Fix}(V)$ and represents a different flow equivalence class.

Question 8.2. Suppose $[F]$ is an involution in $\mathcal{M}_A$. Is there an involution V such that $[F] = [V]$?

If the answer to Question 8.2 is yes, then Theorem 8.1 applies to all order two elements of the mapping class group; if the answer is no, then the quotient map $\mathcal{F}_A \to \mathcal{M}_A$ does not split.

Below, for visual simplicity, where a point x in X_A denotes a point in $\mathsf{S}X_A$, it denotes $[x, 0]$. We similarly abuse notation for sets.

Lemma 8.3. *Suppose $V \in \mathcal{F}_A$ is an involution. Then V has an invariant cross section.*

Proof. Suppose $X_A \cap V(X_A)$ is nonempty (if it is empty, then $X_A \cup V(X_A)$ is an invariant cross section for V). Let C be a clopen subset of X_A defining an ϵ neighborhood of $X_A \cap V(X_A)$, with ϵ small enough that there is a continuous function $\gamma : C \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon] \to [-1/4, 1/4]$; a clopen set C' in X_A; and a homeomorphism $h : C \to C'$, such that on $C \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon]$, $V : [x, t] \to [h(x), \gamma([x, t])]$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} D &= C \cup C' \\ K &= \{[x, 0], [h(x), \gamma(x)] : x \in D, \gamma(x) \geq 0\} \\ &= \{x, V(x) : x \in D, \gamma(x) \geq 0\} \\ L &= \{x : x \in X_A \setminus D\} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Now h is an involution (because V is an involution), and for x in C, $\gamma(x)$ and $\gamma(Vx)$ either are both zero or have opposite sign. Thus K is the graph of a continuous function on D,

$$K = \{[x, \max(\gamma(x), \gamma(V(x))] : x \in D\} \ .$$

Our invariant cross section will be $E = K \cup L \cup VL$. Clearly E is a closed set with $VE = E$. Every flow orbit hits E, because it hits $D \cup L$, any flow orbit which hits D hits the graph of D which is K. The return time functions on L, VL and K individually are continuous, and these closed sets are disjoint. Consequently the return time function on E is continuous, and E is a cross section for the flow. □

Below, by the normalized suspension flow over a cross section C, we mean the suspension flow after a time change such that points move at unit speed and points in C have return time 1. This is achieved by a flow equivalence from the mapping torus of the return map ρ_C.

Lemma 8.4. *Suppose U and W are involutions $\mathsf{S}X_A \to \mathsf{S}X_A$, with $[U]$ and $[W]$ conjugate in $\mathcal{M}_A$. Then $[W]$ contains an involution V such that the following hold.*

1. *There is an invariant cross section C for W and for V such that $V = W$ on C.*
2. *V is an isomorphism of a normalized suspension flow over an invariant cross section.*
3. *There is a flow equivalence $J : \mathsf{S}X_A \to \mathsf{S}X_A$ such that $J^{-1}UJ = V$.*

Proof. By Lemma 8.3, W has an invariant cross section; without loss of generality, we assume it is X_A. Given x in X, let $\gamma_x : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ be the homeomorphism such that $W : [x,t] \mapsto [W(x), \gamma_x(t)]$, $0 \le t \le 1$. Then define $B : \mathsf{S}X_A \to \mathsf{S}X_A$ to be $[x,t] \mapsto [x, \gamma_x^{-1}(t)]$, $0 \le t \le 1$, and set $V = UB$. V is the required map.

By assumption there is F such that $[F]^{-1}[U][F] = [V]$, so $F^{-1}UF = VH$, where there is a continuous function $\beta : \mathsf{S}X_A \to \mathbb{R}$ such that H is a flow equivalence defined by $H(x) = \alpha_{\beta(x)}(x)$ (for this see e.g. [5, Theorem 3.3]). It suffices to find a flow equivalence G such that $G(VH)G^{-1} = V$. Define functions $b = \max\{\beta, 0\}$ and $c = \min\{\beta, 0\}$. Then define H_+ and H_- on $\mathsf{S}X_A$ by $H_+(x) = \alpha_{b(x)}(x)$ and $H_-(x) = \alpha_{c(x)}(x)$.

The set in an orbit on which β is nonzero is a disjoint union of intervals; on each, β has constant sign, and on each, H is a surjective self-homeomorphism respecting the flow orientation. Now by continuity of the functions b and c, H_+ and H_+ are flow equivalences of $\mathsf{S}X_A$, isotopic to the identity. Clearly $H = H_+H_- = H_-H_+$.

We have $V = HVH$, because $V = V(VHVH) = V^2(HVH)$. Next, we show that $H_-VH_+ = V$. For all x,

$$VH(x) = V(\alpha_{\beta(x)}(x)) = \alpha_{\beta(x)}(Vx) := z \ .$$

Then $H(VH(x)) = \alpha_{\beta(z)}(z)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} x = VH(VH(x)) &= V(\alpha_{\beta(z)}(z)) = \alpha_{\beta(z)}(Vz) = \alpha_{\beta(z)}V\alpha_{\beta(x)}(Vx) \\ &= \alpha_{\beta(z)}\alpha_{\beta(x)}(V^2x) = \alpha_{\beta(z)+\beta(x)}(x) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\beta(z) + \beta(x) = 0$ on the dense set of aperiodic points, hence everywhere. Because the sign of $\beta(z)$ is the same as the sign of β on $H(\beta(z)) = HVH(x) = V(x)$, it follows that β is nonzero at x if and only if β is nonzero with opposite sign at $V(x)$. So, if $\beta(x) > 0$, then $H_-VH_+(x) = HVH(x) = V(x)$. If $\beta(y) < 0$, then $y = Vx$ with $\beta(Vx) > 0$, so

$$H_-VH_+(y) = H_-VH_+(Vx) = V(Vx) = x = V(y) \ .$$

Finally, let $G = H_-$. Then

$$G(VH)G^{-1} = H_-(VH_+H_-)(H_-)^{-1} = H_-VH_+ = V \ .$$

□

We give more information now on the G-SFTs.

A free G-SFT is a G-SFT for which the G-action is free. By a construction of Parry explained in [13] (also see [16, Appendix A]), free G-SFTs can be presented by square matrices with entries in $\mathbb{Z}_+G$, the set of elements $\sum_g n_g g$ in the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$ with every n_g a nonnegative integer. Let $\mathrm{El}(n, \mathbb{Z}G)$ be the group of $n \times n$ elementary matrices over the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$.

Theorem 8.5. [13] *Suppose A, B are square matrices over $\mathbb{Z}_+G$, presenting non-trivial irreducible free G-SFTs. Then the following are equivalent.*

(1) *The G-SFTs are G-flow equivalent.*
(2) *$I - A$ and $I - B$ are stably elementary equivalent over $\mathbb{Z}G$, in the following sense: there exist integers j, k, n and identity matrices I_j, I_k with sizes j, k such that there are matrices U, V in $El(n, \mathbb{Z}G)$ such that $U((I-A)\oplus I_j)V = (I - B) \oplus I_k$.*

The classification statement Theorem 8.5 is the main special case of [13, Theorem 6.4], given one additional simplifying remark. When a $\mathbb{Z}_+G$ matrix A defines a nontrivial irreducible G-SFT T, the matrix A must be essentially irreducible (so, [13, Theorem 6.4] applies) and its "weights group" in the statement of [13, Theorem 6.4] must be all of G. (Otherwise, as an SFT T could not have a dense orbit; see [6, Prop.D.7] for detail.)

There is also a complete (more complicated) classification of G-flow equivalence for general free G-SFTs, in [6]. In the nonfree case, significant invariants are known, but the classification problem is open. Still, we will see with the remainder of the section that tools for G-SFTs are of some use for learning about conjugacy classes in $\mathcal{M}_A$. Define

$$\mathcal{C}_A = \{[V] \in \mathcal{M}_A : V^2 = Id \text{ and } V \text{ is associated to a free } \mathbb{Z}_2 - SFT\} .$$

It follows from Proposition 8.4 that

$$\mathcal{C}_A = \{[V] \in \mathcal{M}_A : V \text{ is a fixed point free involution}\} .$$

We will say an $n\times n$ matrix D over $\mathbb{Z}$ is a Smith normal form if D is a diagonal matrix $\mathrm{diag}(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n)$ satisfying the following conditions: d_{i+1} divides d_i whenever $1 \le i < n$ and $d_{i+1} \neq 0$; $d_{i+1} = 0$ implies $d_i = 0$; and $d_i \ge 0$ if $i > 1$. It is well known that any $n \times n$ matrix B over $\mathbb{Z}$ is $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ equivalent (hence $\mathrm{El}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ equivalent) to a unique Smith normal form, which we denote $\mathrm{Sm}(B)$. (Our "Smith normal form"is slightly unconventional, following [13], to address sign and achieve $\mathrm{Sm}(B \oplus I_k) = \mathrm{Sm}(B) \oplus I_k$.) Note, $\det(B) = \det(\mathrm{Sm}(B))$.

Theorem 8.6. *Suppose σ_A is a nontrivial irreducible SFT and $det(I - A)$ is an odd integer. Then $\mathcal{C}_A$ is the union of finitely many conjugacy classes in $\mathcal{M}_A$.*

Proof. Let C be a matrix over $\mathbb{Z}_+\mathbb{Z}_2$ presenting a free $\mathbb{Z}_2$-SFT which is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-flow equivalent to a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-SFT associated to a free involution in $\mathcal{F}_A$. Let $C = eX + gY$, with X and Y over $\mathbb{Z}_+$ and $G = \{e, g\}$. The matrix $F = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} X & Y \\ Y & X \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ defines an SFT flow equivalent to σ_A, so $\det(I - A) = \det(I - F)$, and therefore

$$\det(I - A) = \det(I - (X + Y)) \det(I - (X - Y)) . \tag{8.7}$$

In our special situation, with $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\det(I-F)$ is odd, by [13, Theorem 8.1] the stable $\mathrm{El}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ equivalence class of C (and thus the G-flow equivalence class of the G-SFT it presents) is determined by the pair $\big(\mathrm{Sm}(I-(X+Y)), \mathrm{Sm}(I-(X-Y))\big)$. Because $\det(I - A) \neq 0$, it follows from (8.7) that only finitely many pairs are possible. □

Let us say a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-SFT is *inert* if the involution defining the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action is an inert automorphism of the underlying SFT. This is the class of greatest interest to us. (For example, these involutions induce involutions on the mapping torus which are in the kernel of the Bowen-Franks representation.)

Theorem 8.8. *Suppose σ_A is a nontrivial mixing SFT and there is an inert $\mathbb{Z}_2$-SFT associated to a free involution V in $\mathcal{F}_A$. Then $det(I - A)$ is an odd integer.*

Proof. Suppose (σ_B, U) is an SFT with free inert involution U associated to V. Ulf Fiebig proved that a finite order automorphism U of σ_A is inert if and only if the homeomorphism induced by the shift on the quotient space of U-orbits has the same zeta function as σ_A [25, Theorem B]. This condition forces $\det(I - tB) = 1 \mod 2$, by the argument of [34, Lemma 2.2], so $\det(I - B)$ is odd. Because σ_A and σ_B are flow equivalent, $\det(I - B) = \det(I - A)$. □

Remark 8.9. If $\det(I - A)$ is an odd negative squarefree integer, then σ_A is flow equivalent to a full shift with a free inert involution, and there is a free inert $\mathbb{Z}_2$-SFT associated to an involution of $\mathsf{S}X_A$. We expect it is possible to prove such involutions exist whenever $\det(I-A)$ is odd, by direct construction or by appeal to a difficult theorem of Kim-Roush, [34, Theorem 7.2]. For a prime p, this theorem characterizes up to shift equivalence the mixing SFTs σ_A such that σ_A is a factor of an SFT σ_B shift equivalent to σ_A, and the fiber over every point is a cardinality p orbit of an order p automorphism U of σ_B. (One version of their characterization is that $\det(I - tA) = 1 \mod p$ and satisfy a certain checkable condition on low order periodic points.) Here, σ_A and σ_B are flow equivalent, and the automorphism U must be inert (by [25, Theorem B]), so there will be an inert $\mathbb{Z}_p$-SFT associated to $\mathsf{S}X_A$.

While the classification of not-free $\mathbb{Z}_2$ SFTs is open, we can consider the invariant which is the flow equivalence class of the fixed point shift. The following result of Long [40, Theorem 1.1] is an instrument for creating examples.

Theorem 8.10. *(Long) Let f be an inert automorphism of a mixing shift of finite type X with $Fix_f(X) \subset Y$ where $Y \neq X$ and Y is a f-invariant subshift of finite type in X. Suppose $n \geq 2$ and n is the smallest positive integer such that $f^n = Id$. If the restriction of f to Y is inert, then there exists an inert automorphism of X, U, such that Y is the fixed point shift of U, where $U^n = id$ and n is the minimal positive integer k such that $U^k = id$.*

For example, let f be the inert involution of the full shift on symbols $0, 1, 2$ which exchanges the symbols 0 and 1. For a positive integer n, let T_n be the subshift with language $(\{0,1\}^n 2)^*$ (words of length n on $\{0,1\}$ alternate with the symbol 2). Then T_n is invariant under f, and one can check the restriction of f to T_n is inert. By Long's theorem, T_n is the fixed point shift of some inert involution of the 3-shift. T_n is an irreducible SFT with Bowen Franks group $\mathbb{Z}/(2^n - 1)\mathbb{Z}$. So, infinitely many flow equivalence classes occur as the fixed shift of an inert $\mathbb{Z}_2 - SFT$ associated to an involution of $\mathsf{S}X_A$, and those involutions must represent distinct elements of $\mathcal{M}_A$.

One can more generally produce infinitely many distinct flow equivalence classes of inert involutions of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-SFTs associated to $\mathsf{S}X_A$, whenever there is a free $\mathbb{Z}_2$-SFT associated to $\mathsf{S}X_A$, by combining some of Long's results ([40, Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2, Lemma B.2]) and some construction work (e.g., for k in $\mathbb{N}$ embed into X_A $2k$ disjoint copies of an SFT admitting an inert involution, say using [8]).

Appendix A. Flow codes

Flow codes were developed in [5] as a flow map analogue of block codes. In [5], flow codes were considered for not necessarily invertible flow maps. In this appendix, for simplicity we only consider flow equivalences, and "flow code" means "flow code" for a flow equivalence.

First we recall some definitions from [5]. Let C be a discrete cross section for a subshift X. Given C, the *return time bisequence* of a point x in C is the bisequence $(r_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ (with $r_n = r_n(x)$) such that

(1) $\sigma^j(x) \in C$ if and only if $j = r_n$ for some n,
(2) $r_n < r_{n+1}$ for all n, and
(3) $r_0 = 0$.

A *return word* is a word equal to $x[0, r_1(x))$ for some $x \in C$. Given $x \in C$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $W_n = W_n(x)$ denotes the return word $x[r_n, r_{n+1})$. In the context of a given C, when we write $x = \dots W_{-1}W_0W_1 \dots$ below, we mean $x \in C$ and $W_n = W_n(x)$. Given $x \in C$ and $i \le j$, the tuple $(W_n(x))_{n=i}^{j}$ is the $[i, j]$ return block of x, also denoted $W_i^j(x)$, and $\mathcal{W}(i, j, C) = \{W_i^j(x) : x \in C\}$. To know this return block is to know the word $W = W_i \cdots W_j$ together with its factorization as a concatenation of return words.

Definition A.1. Suppose C is a discrete cross sections of a subshift (X, T). A *C word block code* is a function $\Phi : \mathcal{W}(-N, N, C) \to \mathcal{W}_0'$, where $\mathcal{W}_0'$ is a set of words and N is a nonnegative integer. A *word block code* is a *C word block code* for some C. The function ϕ from C into a subshift given by Φ is defined to map $x = (W_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ to the concatenation $x' = (W_n')_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, with $W_n' = \Phi(W_{n-M}, ..., W_{n+M})$ and $x'[0, \infty) = W_0'W_1' \dots$.

For D a discrete cross section of a subshift (X', T'), a C, D flow code is a C word block code Φ defined as above, with the following additional properties:

(1) $\mathcal{W}_0'$ is the set of D return words
(2) The induced map ϕ is a homeomorphism $\phi : C \to D$ which is a topological conjugacy of the return maps of C and D (with respect to T and T').

In this case we refer to (Φ, C, D) as a flow code defining ϕ. This code induces a flow equivalence $\mathsf{S}\phi : \mathsf{S}X \to \mathsf{S}X'$ by the following rule, in which $r(x) = |W_0(x)|/|W_0'(x)|$:

$$\mathsf{S}\phi : [x, t] \mapsto [\phi(x), tr(x)] \ , \quad \text{if } x \in C \text{ and } 0 \le t < |W_0(x)| \ .$$

By [5, Theorem 5.5], for every flow equivalence of subshifts, $F : \mathsf{S}X \to \mathsf{S}X'$, there is a flow code (Φ, C, D) inducing $\mathsf{S}\phi : \mathsf{S}X \to \mathsf{S}X'$ such that $\mathsf{S}\phi$ is isotopic to F.

Now suppose flow codes (Φ_1, C_1, D_1) and (Φ_2, C_2, D_2) induce $\phi_1 : C_1 \to D_1$ and $\phi_2 : C_2 \to D_2$, with $\mathsf{S}\phi_1 : \mathsf{S}X_1 \to \mathsf{S}X_2$ and $\mathsf{S}\phi_2 : \mathsf{S}X_2 \to \mathsf{S}X_3$. If $D_1 = C_2$, then we can compose the word block codes as easily as we compose block codes to obtain a flow code (Φ, C_1, D_2) defining $\phi : C_1 \to D_2$ such that $\mathsf{S}\phi = (\mathsf{S}\phi_2) \circ (\mathsf{S}\phi_1)$. But if $C_1 \neq D_1$, we need another ingredient to produce a flow code as a function of the given data such that $[\mathsf{S}\phi] = [(\mathsf{S}\phi_2) \circ (\mathsf{S}\phi_1)]$.

Given a discrete cross section C for (X, T) and $x \in X$, define $\tau(x, C) = \min\{i \ge 0 : T^i(x) \in C\}$. The next proposition adapts the Parry-Sullivan argument ([44]; see Theorem 2.2) to discrete cross sections. As the argument is very similar to arguments given in [5], we will leave a proof as an exercise (perhaps after reviewing [5]).

Proposition A.2. *Suppose C and D are discrete cross sections for a subshift (X,T). Define*

$$K = K(C,D) = (C \cap D) \bigcup \{x \in C \setminus D : \tau(x,D) < \tau(x,C)\} \subset C$$
$$L = L(C,D) = \{\sigma^{\tau(x,D)}(x) : x \in K\} \subset D$$
$$\delta : K \to L \ , \quad \delta : x \mapsto T^{\tau(x,D)} \ .$$

Then

1. *K and L are discrete cross sections for (X,T).*
2. *δ is a well defined homeomorphism.*
3. *δ is a topological conjugacy of the return maps to K and L under T, i.e. $\delta\rho_K = \rho_L\delta$.*
4. *δ is given by a word block code $\Delta : \mathcal{W}(K,0,1) \to \mathcal{W}(L)$.*
   δ^{-1} is given by a word block code $\Psi : \mathcal{W}(L,-1,0) \to \mathcal{W}(K)$.
5. *$[\mathsf{S}\delta]$ is the identity element in $\mathcal{M}(T)$.*
6. *Suppose the subshift (X,T) has decidable language. Suppose there are N in $\mathbb{N}$ and word sets $\mathcal{V}_C$, $\mathcal{V}_D$ such that $C = \{x \in X : x_{-N} \dots x_N \in \mathcal{V}_C\}$ and $D = \{x \in X : x_{-N} \dots x_N \in \mathcal{V}_D\}$. Then there is an algorithm to determine M in $\mathbb{N}$ and the following:*
   (a) *$\mathcal{V}_K \subset \mathcal{W}(X)$ such that $K = \{x \in X : x_{-N} \dots x_{M+N} \in \mathcal{V}_K\}$.*
   (b) *$\mathcal{V}_L \subset \mathcal{W}(X)$ such that $L = \{x \in X : x_{-(M+N)} \dots x_{M+N} \in \mathcal{V}_L\}$.*
   (c) *The word codes Δ and Ψ.*

In Part (6) above, the decidability of the language lets us find an upper bound to the return time to K.

We say a discrete cross section C for a subshift (X,T) is *explicitly given* if there is given N in $\mathbb{N}$ and a subset $\mathcal{V}_C$ of the language of X such that $C = \{x \in X : x[-N,N] \in \mathcal{V}\}$ (or if C is given by data from which such a set $\mathcal{V}$ could be algorithmically produced). Similarly, a flow code (Φ, C, D) is explicitly given if C is explicitly given and for some M, Φ is given as a function from a subset of $\mathcal{W}(C,-M,M)$. (or by algorithmically equivalent information).

Proposition A.3. *For $i = 1 \dots, k+1$, let (X_i, T_i) be a subshift with decidable language. Suppose for $1 \le i \le k$ that $\mathsf{S}\phi_i : \mathsf{S}X_i \to \mathsf{S}X_{i+1}$ is a flow equivalence defined from a homeomorphism $\phi_i : C_i \to D_i$ defined by an explicitly given flow code (Φ_i, C_i, D_i).*

Then there is an algorithm which produces an explicitly given flow code $(\Phi, E, \overline{E})$, with $E \subset C_1$ and $\overline{E} \subset D_k$, inducing $\phi : E_1 \to E_k$ such that $(\mathsf{S}\phi_k) \circ (\mathsf{S}\phi_1)$ and $\mathsf{S}\phi$ are isotopic.

Note, we are not claiming to produce a ϕ such that $(\mathsf{S}\phi_2) \circ (\mathsf{S}\phi_1) = \mathsf{S}\phi$.

Proof of Proposition A.3. By induction, it suffices to prove the proposition assuming $k = 2$.

For the explicitly given discrete cross sections D_1 and C_2 of (X_2, T_2), we have explicitly from Lemma A.2 $K = K(D_1, C_2)$, $L = L(D_1, C_2)$ and a flow code (Δ, K, L) for δ. Define $E = \phi_1^{-1}(K)$ and $\overline{E} = \phi_2(L)$. Set $\psi_1 = \phi_1|_E$, $\psi_2 = \phi_2|L$ and $\phi = \psi_2\delta\psi_1$. Now $\mathsf{S}\phi_i$ and $\mathsf{S}\psi_i$ are isotopic, for $i = 1, 2$, and $\mathsf{S}\delta$ is isotopic to the identity, by Lemma A.2. Therefore $(\mathsf{S}\phi_2) \circ (\mathsf{S}\phi_1)$ is isotopic to $\mathsf{S}\phi$.

From the explicitly given word codes for ϕ_1 and ϕ_2, we can compute explicitly a flow code (Ψ_1, E, K) for ψ_1 and a flow code $(\Psi_2, L, \overline{E})$ for ψ_2. Now the discrete

cross sections align, and we can compose the word codes $(\Psi_2, L, \overline{E})$, (Δ, K, L), (Ψ_1, E, K) to obtain a block word code rule $(\Phi, E, \overline{E})$ for $\phi : E \to \overline{E}$, with Φ defined for some M on a set $\mathcal{W}$ containing $\{\mathcal{W}_{-M}^{M}(x) : x \in E\}$. (Moreover, by solvability of the word problem for (X_1, T_1), we may then choose to shrink $\mathcal{W}$ so that the containment becomes equality.) □

References

[1] F. Blanchard and G. Hansel. Systèmes codés. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 44(1):17–49, 1986.

[2] R. Bowen and J. Franks. Homology for zero-dimensional nonwandering sets. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 106(1):73–92, 1977.

[3] M. Boyle. Flow equivalence of shifts of finite type via positive factorizations. *Pacific J. Math.*, 204(2):273–317, 2002.

[4] M. Boyle. Positive K-theory and symbolic dynamics. In *Dynamics and randomness (Santiago, 2000)*, volume 7 of *Nonlinear Phenom. Complex Systems*, pages 31–52. Kluwer Acad. Publ., 2002.

[5] M. Boyle, T. Carlsen, and S. Eilers. Flow equivalence and isotopy for subshifts. Dyn. Syst., to appear; arXiv:1511.03478, 2015.

[6] M. Boyle, T. Carlsen, and S. Eilers. Flow equivalence of G-sfts. arXiv:1512.05238, 2015.

[7] M. Boyle, T. Carlsen, and S. Eilers. Flow equivalence of sofic shifts. Israel J. Math. to appear; arXiv:1511.03481, 2015.

[8] M. Boyle and U.-R. Fiebig. The action of inert finite-order automorphisms on finite subsystems of the shift. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 11(3):413–425, 1991.

[9] M. Boyle and D. Huang. Poset block equivalence of integral matrices. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 355(10):3861–3886 (electronic), 2003.

[10] M. Boyle and W. Krieger. Almost Markov and shift equivalent sofic systems. In *Dynamical systems (College Park, MD, 1986–87)*, volume 1342 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 33–93. Springer, Berlin, 1988.

[11] M. Boyle and W. Krieger. Automorphisms and subsystems of the shift. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 437:13–28, 1993.

[12] M. Boyle, D. Lind, and D. Rudolph. The automorphism group of a shift of finite type. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 306(1):71–114, 1988.

[13] M. Boyle and M.C. Sullivan. Equivariant flow equivalence for shifts of finite type, by matrix equivalence over group rings. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 91(1):184–214, 2005.

[14] M. Boyle and J. B. Wagoner. Positive algebraic K-theory and shifts of finite type. In *Modern dynamical systems and applications*, pages 45–66. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004.

[15] Mike Boyle and David Handelman. Orbit equivalence, flow equivalence and ordered cohomology. *Israel J. Math.*, 95:169–210, 1996.

[16] Mike Boyle and Scott Schmieding. Finite group extensions of shifts of finite type: K-theory, Parry and Livšic. arXiv:1503.02050, 2015.

[17] Valerio Capraro and Martino Lupini. *Introduction to sofic and hyperlinear groups and Connes' embedding conjecture*, volume 2136 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Cham, 2015. With an appendix by Vladimir Pestov.

[18] Sompong Chuysurichay. *Positive rational strong shift equivalence and the mapping class group of a shift of finite type*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2011. Thesis (Ph.D.)–University of Maryland, College Park.

[19] Ethan M. Coven, Anthony Quas, and Reem Yassawi. Computing automorphism groups of shifts using atypical equivalence classes. *Discrete Anal.*, pages Paper No. 3, 28, 2016.

[20] Van Cyr, John Franks, Bryna Kra, and Samuel Petite. Distortion and the automorphism group of a shift. arXiv:1611.05913, 2016.

[21] Van Cyr and Bryna Kra. The automorphism group of a minimal shift of stretched exponential growth. *J. Mod. Dyn.*, 10:483–495, 2016.

[22] Sebastián Donoso, Fabien Durand, Alejandro Maass, and Samuel Petite. On automorphism groups of low complexity subshifts. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 36(1):64–95, 2016.

[23] Soren Eilers, Gunnar Restorff, Efren Ruiz, and Adam P. W. Sorensen. The complete classification of unital graph C*-algebras: Geometric and strong. arXiv:1611.07120, 2016.

[24] Benson Farb and Dan Margalit. *A primer on mapping class groups*, volume 49 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012.
[25] Ulf-Rainer Fiebig. Periodic points and finite group actions on shifts of finite type. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 13(3):485–514, 1993.
[26] J. Franks. Flow equivalence of subshifts of finite type. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 4(1):53–66, 1984.
[27] Thierry Giordano, Ian F. Putnam, and Christian F. Skau. Topological orbit equivalence and C^*-crossed products. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 469:51–111, 1995.
[28] Thierry Giordano, Ian F. Putnam, and Christian F. Skau. Full groups of Cantor minimal systems. *Israel J. Math.*, 111:285–320, 1999.
[29] R. I. Grigorchuk and K. S. Medinets. On the algebraic properties of topological full groups. *Mat. Sb.*, 205(6):87–108, 2014.
[30] G. A. Hedlund. Endomorphisms and automorphisms of the shift dynamical system. *Math. Systems Theory*, 3:320–375, 1969.
[31] Michael Hochman. On the automorphism groups of multidimensional shifts of finite type. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 30(3):809–840, 2010.
[32] Kate Juschenko and Nicolas Monod. Cantor systems, piecewise translations and simple amenable groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 178(2):775–787, 2013.
[33] K. H. Kim and F. W. Roush. On the automorphism groups of subshifts. *Pure Math. Appl. Ser. B*, 1(4):203–230 (1991), 1990.
[34] K. H. Kim and F. W. Roush. Free Z_p actions on subshifts. *Pure Math. Appl.*, 8(2-4):293–322, 1997.
[35] K. H. Kim, F. W. Roush, and J. B. Wagoner. Automorphisms of the dimension group and gyration numbers. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 5(1):191–212, 1992.
[36] K. H. Kim, F. W. Roush, and Susan G. Williams. Duality and its consequences for ordered cohomology of finite type subshifts. In *Combinatorial & computational mathematics (Pohang, 2000)*, pages 243–265. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2001.
[37] Young-One Kim, Jungseob Lee, and Kyewon K. Park. A zeta function for flip systems. *Pacific J. Math.*, 209(2):289–301, 2003.
[38] D. A. Lind. The entropies of topological Markov shifts and a related class of algebraic integers. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 4(2):283–300, 1984.
[39] Douglas Lind and Brian Marcus. *An Introduction to Symbolic Dynamics and Coding*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
[40] Nicholas Long. Fixed point shifts of inert involutions. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 25(4):1297–1317, 2009.
[41] Kengo Matsumoto and Hiroki Matui. Continuous orbit equivalence of topological Markov shifts and Cuntz-Krieger algebras. *Kyoto J. Math.*, 54(4):863–877, 2014.
[42] Hiroki Matui. Topological full groups of one-sided shifts of finite type. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 705:35–84, 2015.
[43] Masakazu Nasu. Topological conjugacy for sofic systems and extensions of automorphisms of finite subsystems of topological Markov shifts. In *Dynamical systems (College Park, MD, 1986–87)*, volume 1342 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 564–607. Springer, Berlin, 1988.
[44] W. Parry and D. Sullivan. A topological invariant of flows on 1-dimensional spaces. *Topology*, 14(4):297–299, 1975.
[45] Vladimir G. Pestov. Hyperlinear and sofic groups: a brief guide. *Bull. Symbolic Logic*, 14(4):449–480, 2008.
[46] G. Restorff. Classification of Cuntz-Krieger algebras up to stable isomorphism. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 598:185–210, 2006.
[47] M. Rørdam. Classification of Cuntz-Krieger algebras. *K-Theory*, 9(1):31–58, 1995.
[48] J. Patrick Ryan. The shift and commutivity. II. *Math. Systems Theory*, 8(3):249–250, 1974/75.
[49] Ville Salo. Groups and monoids of cellular automata. In *Cellular automata and discrete complex systems*, volume 9099 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 17–45. Springer, Heidelberg, 2015.
[50] Ville Salo and Ilkka Törmä. Block maps between primitive uniform and Pisot substitutions. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 35(7):2292–2310, 2015.
[51] Michael Schraudner. On the algebraic properties of the automorphism groups of countable-state Markov shifts. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 26(2):551–583, 2006.

[52] Sol Schwartzman. Asymptotic cycles. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 66:270–284, 1957.
[53] J. B. Wagoner. Strong shift equivalence theory and the shift equivalence problem. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 36(3):271–296, 1999.
[54] J. B. Wagoner. Strong shift equivalence and K_2 of the dual numbers. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 521:119–160, 2000. With an appendix by K. H. Kim and F. W. Roush.
[55] Benjamin Weiss. Sofic groups and dynamical systems. *Sankhyā Ser. A*, 62(3):350–359, 2000. Ergodic theory and harmonic analysis (Mumbai, 1999).

Department of Mathematics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742-4015, USA
E-mail address: mmb@math.umd.edu

Algebra and Applications Research Unit, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Prince of Songkla University, Songkhla, Thailand 90110
E-mail address: sompong.c@psu.ac.th